DRAFTFor Review Only

Public Health Goal for Bromate in Drinking Water

Prepared by

Pesticide and Environmental Toxicology Branch
Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
California Environmental Protection Agency

PREFACE

Drinking Water Public Health Goal Pesticide and Environmental Toxicology Branch Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment California Environmental Protection Agency

This Public Health Goal (PHG) technical support document provides information on health effects from contaminants in drinking water. PHGs are developed for chemical contaminants based on the best available toxicological data in the scientific literature. These documents and the analyses contained in them provide estimates of the levels of contaminants in drinking water that would pose no significant health risk to individuals consuming the water on a daily basis over a lifetime.

The California Safe Drinking Water Act of 1996 (Health and Safety Code, Section 116365) requires the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) to perform risk assessments and adopt PHGs for contaminants in drinking water based exclusively on public health considerations. The Act requires that PHGs be set in accordance with the following criteria:

- PHGs for acutely toxic substances shall be set at levels at which no known or anticipated adverse effects on health will occur, with an adequate margin of safety.
- 2. PHGs for carcinogens or other substances that may cause chronic disease shall be based solely on health effects and shall be set at levels that OEHHA has determined do not pose any significant risk to health.
- 3. To the extent the information is available, OEHHA shall consider possible synergistic effects resulting from exposure to two or more contaminants.
- 4. OEHHA shall consider potential adverse effects on members of subgroups that comprise a meaningful proportion of the population, including but not limited to infants, children, pregnant women, the elderly, and individuals with a history of serious illness.
- 5. OEHHA shall consider the contaminant exposure and body burden levels that alter physiological function or structure in a manner that may significantly increase the risk of illness.
- 6. OEHHA shall consider additive effects of exposure to contaminants in media other than drinking water, including food and air, and the resulting body burden.
- 7. In risk assessments that involve infants and children, OEHHA shall specifically assess exposure patterns, special susceptibility, multiple contaminants with toxic mechanisms in common, and the interactions of such contaminants.

- 8. In cases of insufficient data for OEHHA to determine a level that creates no significant risk, OEHHA shall set the PHG at a level that is protective of public health with an adequate margin of safety.
- 9. In cases where scientific evidence demonstrates that a safe dose response threshold for a contaminant exists, then the PHG should be set at that threshold.
- 10. The PHG may be set at zero if necessary to satisfy the requirements listed above in items seven and eight.
- 11. PHGs adopted by OEHHA shall be reviewed at least once every five years and revised as necessary based on the availability of new scientific data.

PHGs adopted by OEHHA are for use by the California Department of Public Health (DPH) in establishing primary drinking water standards (State Maximum Contaminant Levels, or MCLs). Whereas PHGs are to be based solely on scientific and public health considerations without regard to economic cost considerations or technical feasibility, drinking water standards adopted by DPH are to consider economic factors and technical feasibility. Each primary drinking water standard adopted by DPH shall be set at a level that is as close as feasible to the corresponding PHG, placing emphasis on the protection of public health. PHGs established by OEHHA are not regulatory in nature and represent only non-mandatory goals. By state and federal law, MCLs established by DPH must be at least as stringent as the federal MCL, if one exists.

PHG documents are used to provide technical assistance to DPH, and they are also informative reference materials for federal, state and local public health officials and the public. While the PHGs are calculated for single chemicals only, they may, if the information is available, address hazards associated with the interactions of contaminants in mixtures. Further, PHGs are derived for drinking water only and are not intended to be utilized as target levels for the contamination of other environmental media.

Additional information on PHGs can be obtained at the OEHHA Web site at www.oehha.ca.gov.

DRAFT

LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS

| PHG PROJECT MANAGEMENT | REPORT PREPARATION | SUPPORT |
|---------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Project Director | Authors | Administrative Support |
| Anna Fan, Ph.D. | Tom Parker, M.S. | Hermelinda Jimenez |
| | Patty Wong, Ph.D. | Michael Baes |
| | Sara Hoover, Ph.D. | Janet Rennert |
| PHG Program Leader | | |
| Robert A. Howd, Ph.D. | Primary Reviewers | Library Support |
| | Lindsey Roth, Ph.D. | Charleen Kubota, M.L.S. |
| | John Faust, Ph.D. | |
| Comment Coordinator | | Web site Posting |
| Michael Baes | Final Reviewers | Laurie Monserrat |
| | Anna Fan, Ph.D. | |
| | George Alexeeff, Ph.D. | |
| | Robert Howd, Ph.D. | |

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| PREFACE | II |
|--|----|
| LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS | IV |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | V |
| PUBLIC HEALTH GOAL FOR BROMATE IN DRINKING WATER | 1 |
| SUMMARY | 1 |
| INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| CHEMICAL PROFILE | 2 |
| Chemical Identity | 2 |
| Physical and Chemical Properties | 3 |
| Production and Uses | |
| ENVIRONMENTAL OCCURRENCE AND HUMAN EXPOSURE | 4 |
| Air | 4 |
| Soil | 4 |
| Water | 4 |
| Food | 5 |
| Other Sources | 6 |
| METABOLISM AND PHARMACOKINETICS | 7 |
| Absorption | 7 |
| Distribution | 8 |
| Metabolism | 8 |
| Excretion | 8 |
| Physiological/Nutritional Role | 8 |
| TOXICOLOGY | 9 |
| Toxicological Effects in Animals and Plants | 9 |
| Acute Toxicity | 9 |
| Subchronic Toxicity | 10 |
| Genetic Toxicity | 10 |

DRAFT

| Developmental and Reproductive Toxicity | 11 |
|---|----|
| Immunotoxicity | 12 |
| Neurotoxicity | 12 |
| Chronic Toxicity | 12 |
| Carcinogenicity | 15 |
| Toxicological Effects in Humans | 18 |
| Acute Toxicity | 18 |
| Chronic Toxicity | 18 |
| Neurotoxicity | 18 |
| Other Toxic Effects in Humans | 19 |
| DOSE-RESPONSE ASSESSMENT | 19 |
| Noncancer Effects | 19 |
| Carcinogenic Effects | 20 |
| Overview of Time-to-Tumor and Multi-Site Analyses | 20 |
| DeAngelo Data Set | 20 |
| Methods | 22 |
| Results | 24 |
| Discussion | 25 |
| CALCULATION OF PHG | 26 |
| Noncancer Effects | 26 |
| Carcinogenic Effects | 27 |
| RISK CHARACTERIZATION | 28 |
| OTHER REGULATORY STANDARDS | 20 |
| | |
| REFERENCES | 30 |
| APPENDIX | 35 |

PUBLIC HEALTH GOAL FOR BROMATE IN DRINKING WATER

SUMMARY

The Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) proposes a Public Health Goal (PHG) of 0.1 ppb (0.1 μ g/L) for bromate in drinking water, based on its carcinogenicity. Bromate can be found in drinking water as a byproduct of the ozonation disinfection process. Although bromate has a long history of use as a food additive (at up to 75 ppm in flour), it is largely converted to bromide in the baking process. Bromate is a strong oxidant and is chemically reactive. It is mutagenic and has caused cancer in multiple organs in rodent bioassays. The proposed PHG is based on a study by DeAngelo *et al.* (1998) in which the authors related cancer in male F344 rats to lifetime exposure to bromate in their drinking water at daily doses from 1.1 to 28.7 mg/kg-day. A cancer potency estimate of 0.21 (mg/kg-day)⁻¹ is used, derived by a time-to-tumor multisite approach based on the tumor data for mesothelioma, kidney tumors and thyroid tumors in the male rat, which was the sex and species observed to be most susceptible to the carcinogenic effects of bromate.

Non-cancer adverse effects were found in several studies, and we also selected the male rats in the DeAngelo *et al.* (1998) study for determination of an acceptable daily dose (ADD). The noncancer No Observed Adverse Effect Level (NOAEL) is 1.1 mg/kg-d of bromate, based on renal pelvis urothelial hyperplasia in male. Using a relative source contribution (RSC) of 0.2, a total uncertainty factor of 100 for inter- and intra-species differences, and a water consumption rate of 0.044 L/kg, an ADD of 0.011 mg/kg-day and a health-protective value of 50 ppb are derived based on the noncancer endpoint.

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) Maximum Contaminant Level Goal (MCLG) for bromate is set at zero, based on carcinogenicity. The Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL) is set at 10 ppb, based on the practical quantification limit (U.S. EPA, 1998). Bromate has been listed as a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer since May 31, 2002. It was listed under the authoritative bodies mechanism, based on the U.S. EPA listing as a carcinogen.

INTRODUCTION

Bromate (BrO₃⁻⁾ is a negatively charged polyatomic ion containing one bromine and three oxygen atoms. Typical salts of bromate are potassium bromate (KBrO₃) and sodium bromate (NaBrO₃). In 1998, the U.S. EPA published an MCL of 0.010 mg/L and an MCLG of zero mg/L for bromate in drinking water, based on a weight of evidence evaluation of both cancer (multiple sites, both sexes, rats) and noncancer effects. Bromate, as the potassium salt, is listed as a group 2b possible human carcinogen by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC). Bromate was shown to be mutagenic via *in vitro* and *in vivo* scientific evaluations. In rats, long-term exposure to bromate in drinking water yielded adverse liver and kidney effects (for example, urothelial hyperplasia) and inhibited body weight gain.

Bromate exposure might occur to humans in several ways. Bromate salts have been used as a food ingredient, being added to beer and cheese, and also used as a neutralizing agent for permanent wave hair styling products (Dupuis, 1997; IPCS, 2006). Bromate salts are currently permitted by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for use as bread dough conditioners (FDA, 2007a,b) at a maximum concentration of 75 ppm. Bromate is a quite unusual chemical in that although it is a group 2b possible human carcinogen, its use as a food additive is still permitted. Importantly, it is permitted only in the raw flour and the baking process removes most of the chemical (WHO, 2005; IPCS, 2006).

The focus of this document is evaluation of risk from potential human exposure to bromate via drinking water. Bromate is not typically found in drinking water; however, the bromate ion can form as a disinfection byproduct from the ozonation disinfection process (WHO, 2005), when the disinfectant reacts with chemicals in the water. During ozone disinfection of water, ozone can react with relatively non-toxic amounts of bromide in the water to produce the more toxic bromate ion. Along with bromate, the U.S. EPA identified trihalomethanes, haloacetic acids, and chlorite as significant disinfection byproducts (U.S. EPA, 2006).

CHEMICAL PROFILE

Chemical Identity

Bromate is a negatively charged ion containing one bromine and three oxygen atoms, with the chemical abbreviation of BrO_3 . The acid form, bromic acid, is stable only in water. Many bromate salts are possible, but potassium bromate and sodium bromate are the most common. Table 1 describes these and other available forms. The CAS number for bromate (no salt or acid) is 15541-45-4.

Table 1. Description of Bromic Acid and Common Salts

| Parameter | Property or Value | | | |
|---------------------|-------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Chemical Name | Bromic Acid | Calcium Bromate | Potassium Bromate | Sodium Bromate |
| Synonyms | | Bromic acid, calcium salt | Bromic acid, potassium salt, | Bromic acid, sodium salt |
| Chemical formula | HBrO ₃ | Ca(BrO ₃) ₂ ·H ₂ O | KBrO ₃ | NaBrO ₃ |
| NIOSH RTECS | | | EF8725000 | EF8750000 |
| CAS Registry number | 7789-31-3 | 10102-75-7 | 7758-01-2 | 7789-38-0 |

Sources: Merck, 1983; CRC, 1989; CDC, 2003, 2006.

Physical and Chemical Properties

Bromate is available as several different salts; the two most common forms are probably potassium and sodium bromate (WHO, 2005). Both salts take the form of colorless and odorless crystals, which can be a granular or powder form and have negligible vapor pressure. The bromate salts are soluble in water and dissociate in water to the metal and bromate ions (Merck, 1983; CDC, 2003; Health Canada, 1999). These salts are strong oxidizers and must be handled with care.

Figure 1. Bromate ion

$$O = Br = O$$

Table 2. Physical and Chemical Properties of Bromate Salts

| Parameter | Property or Value | | |
|------------------------------|--|---|---|
| Chemical Name | mical Name Calcium Potassium Bromate Bromate | | Sodium Bromate |
| Formula | Ca(BrO ₃) ₂ ·H ₂ 0 | $KBrO_3$ | NaBrO ₃ |
| Molecular weight | 313.90 | 167.0 | 150.9 |
| Color, form | Monoclinic crystals | Colorless crystals or white granules | Colorless crystals, granules, or crystalline powder |
| Physical state | Solid | Solid | Solid |
| Melting point | 180°C | 350°C | 381°C |
| Decomposition point | | 370°C (decomp) | 381°C (decomp @ mp) |
| Density (g/cm ³) | 3.33 | 3.27 | 3.34 |
| Solubility in water | (very sol.) | 75 g/L @25°C | 364 g/L @25°C |
| Solubility in organics | | Slightly sol. in alcohol, insol. in ether and acetone | Insol. in alcohol and ether |

Sources: Merck, 1983; CRC, 1989; U.S. EPA, 2001; CDC, 2003.

Production and Uses

Bromate salts are produced intentionally for some commercial uses, and the bromate ion occurs as an unwanted byproduct of certain drinking water disinfection processes.

Both the potassium and sodium salts of bromate are currently in commerce worldwide. Potassium bromate, the more acutely toxic of the two, is used as a flour and bread dough "improver" or maturing agent (Mack, 1988; Dupuis, 1997; WHO, 2004, 2006). Calcium bromate has also been used for this purpose. The maximum allowable level of potassium bromate in flour is 75 ppm (FDA, 2007a); however, as a result of a request from the FDA in 1991, most companies have omitted potassium bromate from their products on a voluntary basis (Dupuis, 1997). Potassium bromate was also used as a chemical component of neutralizer solutions in permanent wave hair care products (Mack, 1988; DeAngelo *et al.*, 1998; Health Canada, 1999). Potassium bromate was reportedly used in certain types of beer and cheese making, although we are not aware of any current use in the production of these commodities (DeAngelo *et al.*, 1998; WHO, 2005).

Similar to the potassium salt, sodium bromate has been used in neutralizer solutions for permanent wave and hair straightening products (WEEL, 2007). While the use of the potassium salt in these hair care preparations has significantly decreased (Mack, 1988) they remain permitted as commercial ingredients, with maximum limits as to their concentrations within the cosmetic product (FDA, 2006a).

The drinking water disinfection processes of ozonation, and to a lesser extent, chlorination, can yield the bromate ion as an unintentional byproduct of the disinfection reactions (DeAngelo *et al.*, 1998; Weinberg *et al.*, 2003). Ozonation has the desirable advantage of being able to control *Cryptosporidium parvum* (Amy *et al.*, 2000). *Cryptosporidium* is a zoonotic parasitic protozoan, and its oocysts are refractory to most disinfectant chemicals (FDA, 2006b).

ENVIRONMENTAL OCCURRENCE AND HUMAN EXPOSURE

Air

We are not aware of an environmental scenario in which bromate enters the ambient air in significant quantities, although if it were present in dusts, it could become airborne. The physical properties of bromate salts (negligibly small vapor pressure and decomposition at the melting point) are such that they will not volatilize into the atmosphere (WHO, 2004, 2006).

Soil

Bromate only slightly adsorbs to soil, and its properties as a strong oxidant most likely lead to reactions with organic matter to form the bromide (Br) ion (WHO, 2004, 2006). Bromide similarly would only slightly adsorb to soil or sediment (Health Canada, 1999).

Water

Bromate is not commonly found in water, but it may be formed as a byproduct of ozone disinfection of drinking water and also as a contaminant introduced from treatment of

water with concentrated hypochlorite (Haag and Holgne, 1983; IPCS, 2000; Weinberg *et al.*, 2003; WHO, 2005; Fawell and Walker, 2006). Thus, ozonation treatment of drinking water represents an important potential pathway of bromate formation. In such conditions, drinking water is the primary route of exposure to bromate. In the Netherlands, the exposure of humans to bromate in drinking water, relative to other pathways of exposure, has been reported as approaching 100 percent (Van Dijk-Looijaard and Van Genderen, 2000).

Amy and associates (2000) observed bromate formation in water under various ozonation conditions simulated and modeled to inactivate *Cryptosporidium*. The researchers report that bromate formation is affected by such water quality conditions as bromide concentration, pH, temperature, carbonate alkalinity, specific UVA, disinfectant concentration and time (mg/L-min) and transferred ozone dose, among other factors. The authors note that even waters with lower concentrations of bromide can approach the U.S. EPA standard of 10 μ g/L for bromate (U.S. EPA, 2006) at sufficient ozone concentrations and disinfection times.

Bromate was recently discovered at relatively high levels in two Los Angeles reservoirs used to store water already treated by chlorination (Kemsley, 2008). Water officials speculated that sunlight may have interacted with residual chlorine to oxidize bromide to bromate. The bromate levels in Silver Lake and Elysian reservoirs were reported as 68 and 106 ppb, respectively. High bromate levels were reportedly also found in two other reservoirs in San Diego County.

Bouland *et al.* (2005) describes introduction of bromate into drinking water as a contaminant of sodium hypochlorite, which may be used in the disinfection process. Weinberg *et al.* (2003) examined the finished waters from forty treatment plants that use hypochlorite for disinfection, throughout the United States. They found bromate levels ranging from 0.02 to 3.19 μ g/L in the post-treatment water (median 0.49 μ g/L, with respective 25th and 75th percentile levels of 0.25 and 1.0 μ g/L). The authors related the bromate levels to the amount of bromate contamination in the hypochlorite feedstocks before treatment use (Weinberg *et al.*, 2003).

The World Health Organization (WHO, 2005) reported that the annual mean concentration of bromate in finished drinking water from surface water sources in the United States was $2.9 \,\mu\text{g/L}$ with a range of $<0.2-25 \,\mu\text{g/L}$.

Bottled water is regulated as a food product in the United States (by FDA) rather than as an environmental issue (by U.S. EPA); however, it is useful to look at some historic high levels of bromate from bottled water sources. In the United States, as recently as 2006, the FDA recalled certain brands of bottled water having bromate concentrations of about 27 ppb, exceeding the FDA standard of 10 ppb (Mercer, 2006). This follows a widely publicized incident in 2003 in the United Kingdom in which a popular brand of bottled water was reported to have bromate levels of approximately 20 ppb (U.K. FSA, 2002).

Food

The primary food use for potassium bromate is that of a maturing agent in flour and as a dough conditioner and texture improver in bakery products such as bread, rolls, and

blueberry muffins (Dupuis, 1997; IARC, 1999; WHO, 2005; Health Canada, 1999). Potassium bromate has also been used in the malting process of barley for the brewing of beer and the production of distilled spirits (WHO, 2004, 2006; FDA, 2007b; IPCS, 2006).

The U.S. FDA standardized acceptable levels of potassium bromate in the treatment of flour and beer-making cereal as summarized in Table 3.

Table 3. U.S. FDA, Acceptable Levels of Bromates in Food Ingredients

| Salt Form | Allowed Level | Food Item | Ultimate Product |
|-------------|---------------|---------------------|---|
| | < 75 ppm | Whole wheat flour | Bakery products |
| Potassium | < 50 ppm | White flour | Bakery products |
| 1 ottassium | < 75 ppm | Malted cereal | Fermented malt beverages or distilled spirits |
| Calcium | < 75 ppm | Flour (unspecified) | Bakery products |

Source: FDA, 2006c.

The U.S. FDA requested that bakers reduce potassium bromate use voluntarily, and furthermore, that package information must indicate any potassium bromate use (WHO, 2005).

Other Sources

Cosmetic Use

Sodium and potassium bromate are used in neutralizer solutions for home permanent wave cosmetic products (WHO, 2004, 2006). According to the U.S. FDA (FDA, 2006a), the Consumer Product Safety Commission responded to a number of cases of accidental ingestion by children of bromate neutralizer solutions by requiring in 1990 that "...permanent wave neutralizers, in liquid form, containing in a single container more than 600 mg. of sodium bromate or more than 50 mg of potassium bromate be packaged in child-resistant packaging."

The Cosmetic Ingredient Review (CIR) Expert Panel describes potassium and sodium bromate as "ingredients found safe with qualifications," with the qualifications in each case being that the concentration be less than or equal to 10.17 percent of the product (calculated as sodium bromate) (CIR, 2006).

METABOLISM AND PHARMACOKINETICS

Absorption

Fujii and coworkers (1984) studied the metabolism of potassium bromate in rats. The authors orally dosed male Wistar rats (number not given) with 50 mg/kg KBrO₃ (as bromate) and detected approximately 30 percent of the dose in the urine after 24 hours.

Similarly, from a translated abstract of an oral administration study of potassium bromate in rats, Kawana and coworkers reported that KBrO₃ was rapidly absorbed from the stomach as bromate and that about 95 percent of the KBrO₃ disappeared from the stomach within 30 minutes. Twenty-four hours following administration, approximately 13 percent of the KBrO₃ was found in the urine (Kawana *et al.*, 1991).

More recently, Keith and associates evaluated bromate ion reactions in synthetic and real gastric juices. For real gastric juices, the authors reported two separate bromate half-life findings on the same sample, with a half-life of 124 minutes for the first measurement, and a half-life of 164 minutes determined a few days later. The authors suspected that the difference in half-lives was due to the loss of volatile H_2S in the sample between the measurement days (Keith *et al.*, 2006).

Absorption of bromate via the skin is apparently poor. The CIR Expert Panel (CIR, 1994) cited an unpublished study in which excised guinea pig skin (1.77 cm²) was exposed to 0.2 mL hair neutralizing solution containing 16.5 mg bromate for 15 or 30 minutes. Then, the skin was exposed to buffer solutions for 30, 60, 120, or 240 minutes, and the bromine was determined via X-ray fluorescence. The researchers calculated that a maximum of about 0.01 percent of the bromate was absorbed in 30 minutes, and released after four hours in a buffer solution (CTFA, 1991).

The CIR Expert Panel also reported two unpublished *in vivo* dermal bromate studies performed on guinea pigs. In the first of the studies (BCL, 1992), bromate *in vivo* transdermal absorption from a hair neutralizer compound (10.17 percent NaBrO₃, equivalent to 8.59 percent BrO₃) was evaluated by dermal dosing of 0.5 mL product over a 5 cm² area of 24 albino guinea pigs, and allowed to remain for 15 minutes. Twenty-four control animals were similarly exposed to physiological saline. The investigators reported no bromate in the blood of either active or control animals at 1, 2, 3, or 4 hours post-exposure.

While the above study evaluated guinea pigs for transdermally absorbed *bromate* (BrO₃), the companion study looked for *bromide* (Br). The dosing protocols were identical; however, the researchers used X-ray fluorescence to measure bromide in the animal blood. From the CIR report (1994), "Elevated serum concentrations of Bromide were observed in some of the treated animals in one of the assays of one hair neutralizer, but not in the other. The investigators concluded that Bromate does not penetrate through the guinea pig skin above the minimal limit of detection (76 ppb), and that the Bromide that was detected in serum is the nonoxidative form of Bromine."

Distribution

Fujii and coworkers (1984) studied the metabolism of potassium bromate in male Wistar rats. The researchers orally administered a 50 mg/mL potassium bromate solution via stomach tube to groups of four rats for each dose (0, 0.625, 1.25, 5, 10, 20, 40, 60, 80, and 100 mg/kg). Twenty-four hours post-exposure, several tissues were examined for both bromate and increases over control values of bromide. In the following tissues, bromate was not detected, however bromide was significantly increased over control levels: plasma, red blood cell (RBC), spleen, kidney, liver, pancreas, stomach, and small intestine (Fujii *et al.*, 1984). Bromide is not readily taken up in tissues, and has been used as a marker for extracellular space (Pavelka *et al.*, 2000; Cousins *et al.*, 2002; Zdolsek *et al.*, 2005).

Metabolism

The data suggest that much of the orally administered bromate is rapidly absorbed and degraded within a short period; however, the authors were unable to conclude whether the increased bromide originated from the metabolic degradation in specific organs, or from other origins (Fujii *et al.*, 1984). It is noteworthy that the contention that bromate is rapidly degraded is challenged by Kutom *et al.* (1990), which infers from several sources that "Bromates are very stable in the body and only small quantities are reduced to the less toxic bromide ion."

Excretion

As mentioned above, approximately 30 percent of the bromate was found unchanged in the urine (Fujii *et al.*, 1984). This differs from the observation of Kawana *et al.* (1991) of about 13 percent bromate in urine; however, many experimental specifics about the Kawana *et al.* (1991) study are not known, making comparison difficult. Other animal studies suggest that bromide formed from bromate is also slowly excreted by the kidney (Trepanier and Babish, 1995; Pavelka *et al.*, 2000).

Physiological/Nutritional Role

There is no known physiological or nutritional benefit to sodium or potassium bromate, nor for bromide.

TOXICOLOGY

Toxicological Effects in Animals and Plants

Acute Toxicity

Potassium bromate is more highly toxic than sodium bromate (Health Canada, 1999); and it follows that potassium bromate is more highly studied.

Kurokawa *et al.* (1990) determined the oral LD₅₀ values in the F334 rat, the B6C3F₁ mouse, and the Syrian golden hamster. The authors concluded that KBrO₃ should be classified as a "very toxic chemical" (see Table 4). Kurokawa *et al.* (1990) also cited a personal communication with a colleague obliquely reporting that for Wistar rats, the potassium bromate LD₅₀ is significantly lower at "approximately 160–180 mg/kg body weight" for both sexes. Kawana *et al.* (1991) added validity to the above value by estimating (apparently independently) the oral LD₅₀ for male and female Wistar rats to be 157 mg/kg KBrO₃. These authors administered 85, 159, 325, or 602 mg/kg potassium bromate orally to groups of male and female Wistar rats. Seventy-two hours post-dosing, the authors observed no deaths in the low-dose group, and about 20 percent survival in the 159 mg/kg group (sex not mentioned). Acute effects reported include a trace amount of methemoglobin in the blood of the rats and significant hemochromatosis in the kidney, spleen and liver; dose and sex not mentioned (Kawana *et al.*, 1991).

Table 4. Oral LD₅₀ Values for Potassium Bromate

| Species | LD ₅₀ , mg/kg | | |
|---------|--------------------------|--------|--|
| Species | Male | Female | |
| Rat | 400 | 495 | |
| Mouse | 280 | 335 | |
| Hamster | 388 | 460 | |

Table adapted from Kurokawa et al. (1990)

Bromate toxicity includes kidney damage, hemolysis, and methemoglobinemia (Watanabe *et al.*, 2002). To evaluate the contribution of nitric oxide to bromate-induced methemoglobin elevation in the mouse, Watanabe *et al.* (2002) orally administered 1.2 mmol/kg of KBrO₃ in dilute salt water 15 minutes following intraperitoneal (i.p.) administration of ebselen (an investigational anti-inflammatory antioxidant used as a mimic of glutathione peroxidase). Mice were terminated six hours following dosing; and blood and kidneys were removed for study. The authors observed that elevation of methemoglobin concentration was simultaneous with that of nitric oxide and also attenuation of glutathione peroxidase activity. Renal oxidative stress and renal damage were observed in KBrO₃ treated mice. The authors concluded that the evidence was

suggestive that KBrO₃-induced methemoglobinemia resulted from the reduction of glutathione peroxidase activity due to increases in superoxide, nitric oxide, and peroxynitrite.

Sodium bromate is less well-studied. However, Sax (1979) reported a mouse i.p. LD_{50} of 140 mg/kg and a rabbit oral LD_{LO} of 250 mg/kg, and Merck (2004) reported a rat oral LD_{50} of 400 mg/kg.

Subchronic Toxicity

Kurokawa *et al.* (1990) cited an unpublished study in which male and female Wistar rats, at 10 rodents per group, were given KBrO₃ in drinking water at concentrations of 150, 300, 600, 1,250, 5,000, or 10,000 ppm for 13 weeks. All animals at the three highest levels died within seven weeks; all animals at or below the 600 ppm level survived the 13-week treatment. At 600 ppm, the workers observed elevated glutamate oxaloacetate transaminase (GOT), glutamate pyruvate transaminase (GPT), lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), blood urea nitrogen (BUN), sodium, and cholinesterase in the serum of rats of both sexes; also at this level serum potassium decreased significantly. Various-sized droplets, which stained strongly with eosin, were observed in the cytoplasm of the proximal tubules in treated males, along with extensive regenerative changes in the renal tubules, at unspecified dose levels (Kurokawa *et al.*, 1990).

Guo and associates (2001) assessed the immunotoxic potential of sodium bromate in a 28-day drinking water study in female B6C3F₁ mice. Drinking water concentrations were 80, 20, 400, 600 and 800 ppm of NaBrO₃. The authors found no "overt toxicity" in any of the dose groups; and, they observed no significant differences between the treatment exposure groups and the tap water control group in body weight, weight gain, and such organ weights as thymus, liver, and lungs. Similarly, they observed no effects on erythrocyte count, hemoglobin, hematocrit, mean corpuscular volume, platelets or white blood cells (WBCs), and they found no gross lesions. The authors did, however, observe a significant increase in relative spleen weights. This study is also described later in the Immunotoxicology section of this document.

Genetic Toxicity

The mutagenicity of potassium bromate is demonstrated in several studies that describe the chemical as testing positive *in vitro* for chromosomal aberration in *Salmonella typhimurium* strains TA102 and TA104. Potassium bromate tested weakly positive for TA100 and negative for strains TA92, TA1535, TA1537, TA94 and TA98. Additionally, this chemical caused chromosomal aberrations in Chinese hamster cells (CIR, 1994).

Morgan and coworkers (2002) applied *in vitro* cDNA microarray technology to detect chemically-induced alterations of gene expression in HepG2 cells for a diverse group of chemicals examined at "equitoxic" concentrations. By noting gene expression differences, the authors deduced the levels of oxidative stress, for example, as a function of the GSH:GSSG ratio. For potassium bromate, the authors concluded that "observed

changes include evidence of activation of p53 and cell cycle arrest, including down regulation of PCNA and [the gene] TOPO2A." As evidence of oxidative stress, they mentioned upregulation of genes HMOX1 and HSP 70 and down-regulation of gene CYO1A1 (Morgan *et al.*, 2002).

Umemura and associates (2004) administered potassium bromate in drinking water for four weeks to groups of male and female F334 rats, with five rodents of each sex per group. Concentrations of bromate in drinking water were 0, 15, 30, 60, 125, 250, or 500 ppm. The authors observed that the potassium bromate in drinking water did not elevate thiobarbituric acid-reactive substances at any dose. However, at 250 ppm and above, 8-oxodeoxyguanosine levels in the kidney were significantly higher than in the control animals. Additionally, bromodeoxyuridine-labeling index for proximal convoluted tubules was significantly increased at and above 30 ppm for males and at and above 250 ppm for females. Moreover, α2u-globulin accumulation in the male rat kidney was significantly increased at treatment levels of 250 ppm and above. The authors concluded that their subchronic results suggest that KBrO₃-induced DNA oxidation is independent of lipid peroxidation and that drinking water exposures exceeding 250 ppm can exert a carcinogenic effect in the rat via oxidative stress (Umemura *et al.*, 2004).

In three separate National Toxicology Program studies (NTP, 2007), researchers exposed groups of 15 male and 15 female Tg.AC hemizygous mice and also p53 haploinsufficient mice to sodium bromate concentrations of 0, 80, 400, or 800 ppm in drinking water for 27 weeks and similar groups for 43 weeks. In a separate study, they dermally exposed Tg.AC hemizygous mice (15 males and 15 females/group) to 0, 64, 128, or 256 mg/kg of sodium bromate in ethanol/water, 5 days per week for 26 weeks, and additional groups of 10 male and 10 female Tg.AC hemizygous mice for 39 weeks. The authors reported that bromate exposures increased frequencies of micronucleated erythrocytes in both male and female Tg.AC hemizygous mice exposed for 27 weeks via drinking water and also in mice exposed via dermal application for 26 weeks.

The authors reported a clear dose response in all three micronucleus tests in both male and female mice. Furthermore, for Tg.AC hemizygous mice only, they reported increases in polychromatic erythrocytes in both males and females exposed via drinking water and also in dermally-dosed males (NTP, 2007). This NTP study on sodium bromate is discussed in greater detail in the Carcinogenicity section of this document.

Developmental and Reproductive Toxicity

Crofton (2006) noted a need to examine bromate as a potential agent for developmental neurotoxicity, specifically ototoxicity to the developing organism due to transplacental and lactation routes of exposure. Crofton observed that current evidence for bromate neurotoxicity is confined to acute, high-dose animal studies and human overdose case reports. The author concluded with a recommendation for long-term developmental neurotoxicological studies to reduce the uncertainty in this area.

Immunotoxicity

As described earlier, Guo and associates (2001) performed a 28-day sodium bromate drinking water study in female B6C3F₁ mice to assess the immunotoxic potential of sodium bromate. Drinking water concentrations were 0, 80, 20, 400, 600 or 800 ppm. Along with the earlier described observations that the exposures were not toxic to most organ systems (spleen weight was an exception) and blood values (a dose-related increase in reticulocytes was the exceptional observation), the authors observed no changes in the number of total T cells, CD4⁺CD8⁻ T cells, natural killer cells, or macrophages. The authors noticed a "slight" increase in the number of absolute B cells at the 600 ppm level. These, along with other observations of immunological parameters, led the authors to conclude that 80 to 800 ppm sodium bromate in drinking water produced "minimal toxicological and immunotoxic effects in female B6C3F₁ mice" (Guo *et al.*, 2001).

Neurotoxicity

It has been known for some time that bromate produces ototoxicity in animals and humans (Kamata et al., 1983; Kutom et al., 1990; Campbell, 2006; Crofton, 2006). Chuu et al. (2000) evaluated the mechanism of this effect by administering KBrO₃ subcutaneously (50 mg/kg) alone or in combination with thioglycolate (15 mg/kg) dissolved in saline, or saline control to groups of six guinea pigs (sex not stated) once daily for two weeks. The authors evaluated the exposures for effects on auditory brainstem response, enzyme modifications, and brainstem changes. The authors observed that bromate, especially in combination with thioglycolate, prolonged auditory wave I latency, suggesting a delayed auditory nerve conduction velocity. This observation contrasts with the lack of observed changes in the wave IV-V wave interval, suggesting to the authors that the KBrO₃ exposure did not lead to conduction dysfunction in the brainstem, indicating that the exposure-related hearing loss was peripheral. The authors reported that their auditory observations compare favorably with a case study in which a 44-year old human intentionally ingested potassium bromate in a suicide attempt. He survived, but his peripheral auditory perception did not. The authors thus concluded that KBrO₃ ototoxicity is mediated by peripheral auditory nerve dysfunction, as opposed to central brainstem intoxication (Chuu et al., 2000).

Chronic Toxicity

Nakano and co-workers (1989) exposed respective groups of 12, 5, and 5 five-week old male SLC Wistar rats to 400 ppm potassium bromate in drinking water (roughly 30 mg/kg-d; U.S. EPA, 2001), 400 ppm potassium bromide in drinking water, and control for 15 months. The authors observed significantly decreased growth after one month potassium bromate exposure; and karyopicnotic foci of the tubules of the renal medulla inner stripe occurring after 7-11 weeks of potassium bromate treatment. The authors observed increased BUN simultaneously with structural abnormalities of the renal cortical tubules after 15 months. They also observed atypical degeneration and regeneration and cystic changes in the renal cortex. Two of the nine potassium bromate-treated rats evidenced renal adenocarcinoma (Nakano, 1989).

Ginocchio *et al.* (1979) evaluated mice fed potassium bromate-treated bread for long-term toxicity and carcinogenicity. Five groups of Theiller's Original strain mice, at 60 rodents/sex/group, were fed bread-based diets made up with flour containing 0 ppm bromate; 50 ppm bromate; 75 ppm bromate; 50 ppm bromate, 30 ppm ascorbic acid, and 50 ppm benzoyl peroxide; or 50 ppm bromate, 30 ppm ascorbic acid, 50 ppm benzoyl peroxide, and 15 ppm chlorine dioxide. Daily bromine intakes derived from potassium bromate were estimated as 0, 1.76, 2.64, 1.70, and 1.63 mg/kg-d in males and 0, 2.03, 2.99, 1.99, and 2.08 mg/kg-d in females. All the bread-based diets contained about 15 percent protein, 6 percent total fat, and 63 percent available carbohydrate, fiber, vitamins, and minerals (Fisher *et al.*, 1979).

The concentrations of bromate in the diets refer to amounts added to flour, on a weight basis. Some bromate would be converted to bromide in baking, and perhaps the other additive levels could be altered as well. The diets administered were not assayed for bromate content.

Mice were maintained for 80 weeks on the diets. At 18 months, the authors observed anemia in all female mouse groups; and in all (including control) male mouse groups except the group treated with 50 ppm bromate and three of the four flour additives. They observed a dose-related significant reduction in the number of RBCs in males at 3 months (15 percent reduction in the high-dose group and 17 percent reduction in the 50 ppm bromate and two flour additives group), and a dose-related increase in neutrophil granulocytes in all male groups at 12 months and in bromate treated male groups at 18 months. The authors additionally reported significant dose-related differences in weight of pituitary, brain, kidney, and thyroid organs, relative to body weight, in bromate-treated males at the end of the study. There were no pathological changes associated with differences in organ weight and no significant differences in mortality and mean body weight of the groups (Ginocchio *et al.*, 1979).

Fisher *et al.* (1979) performed a long-term potassium bromate toxicity and carcinogenicity study in rats, similar to the Ginocchio *et al.* (1979) study in mice described above. Male and female Wistar-derived Porton rats were assigned to the same dose groups of bread-based diets as described above, at 60 rodents per sex per group. Rats were maintained for 104 weeks on the diets. The control group females had a higher death rate than any of the treatment groups. There were fewer deaths within the higher-dose group than in any of the other groups. The study reported no significant differences in mean body weight of all groups, except the low-dose male group at 12, 25, 36, 60, and 72 weeks, and the low-dose female group at 104 weeks. The authors reported no "definite" evidence of chronic toxicity which they could attribute to potassium bromate, and found no evidence of retention or accumulation of covalent bromine within the adipose tissues of the rats (Fisher, 1979).

Kurokawa *et al.* (1986b) studied long-term exposures to potassium bromate in rats exposed for 110 weeks via drinking water. Groups of male and female F334 rats (52-53 per group) were given 0, 250, or 500 ppm potassium bromate in drinking water. Daily intakes of potassium bromate were estimated as 0, 12.5 and 27.7 mg/kg-d in males and 0, 12.5 and 25.5 mg/kg-d in females. The authors observed significantly decreased values of glutamate pyruvate transaminase, the albumin/globulin ratio, serum potassium, and

cholinesterase in female rodents at the high-dose level. The authors found no differences among the dose groups in RBC counts (Kurokawa *et al.*, 1990). At week 60, the high dosage level for males was reduced to 400 ppm because this dose inhibited growth of the rodents. Weight difference between the high-dose group and the controls at 60 weeks sustained until the end of the study, even after the reduction of bromate dosage in the high-dose group. The authors reported no apparent inhibition of weight gain in all other groups, up to 110 weeks. The rat NOAEL is 12.5 mg/kg-d potassium bromate on growth inhibition (Kurokawa *et al.*, 1986b). We provide further details on this study in the Carcinogenicity section below.

DeAngelo *et al.* (1998) evaluated the carcinogenic potential of potassium bromate administered via drinking water to male F344 rats and B6C3F₁ mice. The authors administered potassium bromate in drinking water to the rats (the more sensitive of the two rodent species tested) at doses of 0, 0.02, 0.1, 0.2, or 0.4 g/L (0, 1.5, 7.9, 16.9, or 37.5 mg/kg-d) for up to 100 weeks. The researchers observed treatment-related increases in hyperplasia of the transitional cells of the renal papilla and pelvis (which they termed urothelial hyperplasia), and described as a marked increase in the number urothelial cell layers. The authors reported this effect at and above 7.9 mg/kg-d, with the NOAEL being 1.5 mg/kg-d. We select this rat NOAEL of renal pelvis urothelial hyperplasia as the critical value for determining the non-cancer health-protective concentration. This study is described in greater detail later in this document with regard to carcinogenicity.

NTP (2007) studied the toxicity of sodium bromate in two species of genetically modified mice. The studies included 26- and 39-week dermal studies in Tg.AC hemizygous mice, 27- and 43-week drinking water studies in Tg.AC hemizygous mice, and 27- and 43-week drinking water studies in p53 haploinsufficient mice.

Dermal Study. The researchers dermally applied 0, 64, 128, or 256 mg/kg sodium bromate in ethanol/water 5 days per week for 26 weeks to groups of 15 male and 15 female Tg.AC hemizygous mice. They similarly dosed additional groups of 10 male and 10 female Tg.AC hemizygous mice for 39 weeks.

The researchers noted significantly increased reticulocyte counts in the 128 mg/kg females and 256 mg/kg male and female dose groups at 26 weeks. There were significant increases in relative kidney weights at 26 weeks in males at the high-dose and in all male dose groups at 39 weeks. Absolute testis weights in 256 mg/kg males and absolute kidney weights in 256 mg/kg females were decreased at 39 weeks. Nephropathy occurred in 14 of 15 males receiving 128 and 256 mg/kg at 26 weeks and in all 256 mg/kg females in both studies. In the thyroid gland, significant increases in the incidence of follicular cell hypertrophy in all dosed groups of males and females in both studies, follicular secretory depletion in 128 and 256 mg/kg females in both studies, and lymphocytic cellular infiltration in 64 and 256 mg/kg females at 26 weeks and 128 and 256 mg/kg females at 39 weeks.

Drinking Water Studies in Tg.AC Hemizygous Mice. Groups of 15 Tg.AC hemizygous mice of each sex were exposed to sodium bromate concentrations of 0, 80, 400, or 800 ppm in drinking water for 27 weeks. NTP calculated that the concentrations were equivalent to average daily doses of approximately 0, 13, 63, and 129 mg/kg to male mice and 0, 15, 72, and 148 mg/kg to female mice. Additionally, groups of 10 mice of

each sex were exposed to drinking water concentrations of sodium bromate of 0, 80, 400, or 800 ppm for 43 weeks; daily doses of 0, 11, 52, and 131 mg/kg to male mice and 0, 15, 65, and 152 mg/kg to female mice were estimated. The report shows decreased survival in 400 ppm females and 800 ppm males and females at 43 weeks; and lower mean body weights within the 400 ppm males and 800 ppm male and female groups, compared with controls, in both studies.

Absolute kidney weights were decreased in 800 ppm females and relative kidney weights were increased in 400 and 800 ppm males at 27 weeks. Absolute testis weights were significantly decreased in 800 ppm males at 43 weeks. Additional toxic effects were reported for kidney and thyroid.

Drinking Water Studies in p53 Haploinsufficient Mice. Groups of 15 p53 haploinsufficient mice of each sex were exposed to sodium bromate concentrations of 0, 80, 400, or 800 ppm in drinking water for 27 weeks. NTP calculated that the concentrations were equivalent to average daily doses of approximately 0, 8, 39, and 74 mg/kg to males and 0, 13, 72, and 136 mg/kg to female mice. Additionally, groups of 10 mice of each sex were exposed to drinking water sodium bromate concentrations of 0, 80, 400, or 800 ppm for 43 weeks, with approximate daily doses of 0, 7, 37, and 65 mg/kg to males and 0, 11, 58, and 107 mg/kg to female mice. NTP reported that survival of exposed groups was similar to that of controls. Mean body weights of 400 and 800 ppm females were generally lower, but not significantly less than those of the control groups during most of the studies (NTP, 2007).

Carcinogenicity

There are several scientific studies that describe the carcinogenic properties of potassium bromate exposure via drinking water in animals. The carcinogenicity is principally to the kidney. Additionally, sodium bromate was recently studied with no clear indications of carcinogenicity.

Kurokawa and associates (1983) examined orally administered potassium bromate for carcinogenicity in F344 rats. Male and female rats (53 per sex per group) were exposed to 250 or 500 ppm potassium bromate in drinking water, or a distilled water control, for 110 weeks. The researchers reported shortened mean survival times for the male rats in the high-dose group (88.1 \pm 18.1 wk in the high-dose group versus 104.5 \pm 11.3 wk in the controls); however, the percentage of survival in week 104 was relatively high for all female groups (61.5 to 66.0 percent) and the male controls (77.4 percent in the controls versus 20.8 percent in the high-dose group). The authors observed significantly high incidence of renal cell tumors for both males and females for both dose groups, and mesotheliomas of the peritoneum for high-dose males. They concluded that potassium bromate was carcinogenic to F344 rats under their oral dosing conditions.

Kurokawa and coworkers (1986a) performed a study to further characterize the dose-response relationship of potassium bromate in the male F344 rat. The researchers exposed groups of 20-24 rats orally to potassium bromate via drinking water at concentrations of 0, 15, 30, 60, 125, 250, or 500 ppm for 104 weeks. They observed significantly shortened survival times and decreased body weight gain at the highest dose

level. The researchers noted that renal cell tumors and renal adenomas, but not renal adenocarcinomas, significantly increased over controls, in a dose-dependent manner, at exposure concentrations of 125, 250, and 500 ppm potassium bromate. They reported a significant increase in incidence of renal dysplastic foci at concentrations of 30 ppm and higher, in a dose dependent manner. The authors observed peritoneal mesotheliomas at 30 ppm and higher, with a significant increase in incidence at 500 ppm. Further, the combined incidence of thyroid follicular adenomas and adenocarcinomas was significantly increased at the 500 ppm level.

Kurokawa and coworkers (1986b) examined the carcinogenic effects of potassium bromate in groups of 52-53 male and 52 female F344 rats. The authors administered KBrO₃ orally via drinking water at concentrations of 0, 250, or 500 ppm for 110 weeks. They reported significant inhibition in weight gain and lower survival rate in the 500 ppm males. The authors observed significant increases in renal cell tumors, renal adenocarcinomas, and renal adenomas at both dose levels for both sexes. Additionally, they observed significant increases in the combined incidence of follicular adenocarcinomas and adenomas of the thyroid in the high-dose males, and incidence of peritoneal mesothelioma in all exposed males.

DeAngelo *et al.* (1998) studied male rats and male mice to verify the results of earlier bioassays and further investigate the carcinogenicity of bromate. Potassium bromate was administered to five groups of 78 male F344/N rats with target drinking water concentrations of 0, 20, 100, 200, and 400 ppm for up to 100 weeks (DeAngelo *et al.*, 1998; DeAngelo, pers. comm., 2006). There were at most three rats to a cage and they had unlimited access to the water. DeAngelo *et al.* (1998) calculated the mean daily doses from the volume of water that was consumed and the measured water concentrations, reporting estimated daily KBrO₃ consumption levels of 0, 1.5, 7.9, 16.9, or 37.5 mg/kg-day. Correcting for the molecular weight ratio of BrO₃-/KBrO₃ (127.9/167.0), this is equivalent to bromate doses of 0, 1.1, 6.1, 12.9, or 28.7 mg/kg-day.

DeAngelo *et al.* (1998) describes a subset of the full data set from the bromate bioassay. The full data set with all available individual animal data was obtained from DeAngelo (pers. comm., 2006). The published subset consisted of 270 rats that were scheduled to be sacrificed at 100 weeks. The 120 rats not included in this subset were scheduled for sacrifice at 12, 26, 52 and 77 weeks (six at each time per non-zero dose group).

Dose-related increases in the incidence of mesothelioma, thyroid tumors and kidney tumors were observed in the male rats (DeAngelo *et al.*, 1998; Crosby *et al.*, 2000). A treatment-related decrease in survival time was reported, which appeared to be related to tumor development in the male rats. DeAngelo *et al.* (1998) also reported a statistically significant increase in the incidence of renal tumors in the low-dose male mice; the effect was not dose-related. Our analyses focus on the male rat, the most sensitive sex and species, as described in detail in the Dose-Response Assessment section below.

Takamura and coworkers (1985) supplemented drinking water of groups of 20 male Syrian golden hamsters with potassium bromate at concentrations of 125, 250, 500, or 2,000 ppm for 89 weeks. The mean final body weights of the high-dose group were significantly lower than controls, and mean absolute and relative kidney weights were significantly higher than controls in the two highest-dose groups. The authors noticed no

apparent differences in survival times among the groups. Seven of the 75 treated rodents developed renal adenomas; this was dose-related at 1, 2, and 4 renal adenomas, respectively, in the 250, 500, and 2,000 ppm groups. The authors opined that it was highly likely that the adenomas were induced by the exposure to potassium bromate, especially in light of the typically low incidence of these lesions in this species of laboratory animal.

Kurata and coworkers (1992) tested potassium bromate for single-dose tumor initiating properties. The researchers dosed six-week-old F344/NCr male rats intragastrically with a single 300 mg/kg dose of potassium bromate, which is the maximum tolerated dose. Beginning at 2 weeks post-exposure, groups of 39 treatment and control rats were given either a basic diet or a basic diet containing 4,000 ppm barbital sodium, a promoting agent. Animals were terminated at weeks 30, 52, 104, or when found in poor condition. At week 30, the authors observed nephropathy in all rats treated with potassium bromate followed by barbital sodium and in rats receiving barbital sodium alone, but not in rats exposed to the promoter alone. From week 47 to the end of the study, in rats exposed to potassium bromate followed by barbital sodium and in rats exposed to barbital sodium alone, the researchers noticed dysplastic renal tubular cell foci, which they described as putative preneoplastic renal tubular cell lesions associated with nephropathy. The authors surmised that the potassium bromate dose of 300 mg/kg did not initiate renal carcinogenesis (Kurata *et al.*, 1992).

The National Toxicology Program (NTP, 2007) recently released its final report on the toxicology, including carcinogenicity, of sodium bromate in two species of genetically modified mice. Highlights of the studies include 26- and 39-week dermal studies in Tg.AC hemizygous mice, 27- and 43-week drinking water studies in Tg.AC hemizygous mice, and 27- and 43-week drinking water studies in p53 haploinsufficient mice. The report concludes that no evidence of carcinogenicity for sodium bromate was observed. Details are provided below.

Dermal Study. The NTP-sponsored researchers dermally applied 0, 64, 128, or 256 mg/kg sodium bromate in ethanol/water 5 days per week for 26 weeks to groups of 15 male and 15 female Tg.AC hemizygous mice. They similarly dosed additional groups of 10 male and 10 female Tg.AC hemizygous mice for 39 weeks. The researchers observed no increased incidence of neoplasia in male or female Tg.AC hemizygous mice dermally exposed to sodium bromate.

Drinking Water Studies in Tg.AC Hemizygous Mice. Groups of 15 Tg.AC hemizygous mice of each sex were exposed to sodium bromate concentrations of 0, 80, 400, or 800 ppm in drinking water for 27 weeks. NTP calculated that the concentrations were equivalent to average daily doses of approximately 0, 13, 63, and 129 mg/kg to male mice and 0, 15, 72, and 148 mg/kg to female mice. Additionally, groups of 10 mice of each sex were exposed to drinking water concentrations of sodium bromate of 0, 80, 400, or 800 ppm for 43 weeks; daily doses of 0, 11, 52, and 131 mg/kg to male mice and 0, 15, 65, and 152 mg/kg to female mice were estimated. Absolute kidney weights were decreased in 800 ppm females and relative kidney weights were increased in 400 and 800 ppm males at 27 weeks. Absolute testis weights were significantly decreased in 800 ppm

males at 43 weeks. Other toxic effects were reported for kidney and thyroid. No increases in neoplasia were observed among either males or females.

Drinking Water Studies in p53 Haploinsufficient Mice. Groups of 15 p53 haploinsufficient mice of each sex were exposed to sodium bromate concentrations of 0, 80, 400, or 800 ppm in drinking water for 27 weeks. NTP calculated that the concentrations were equivalent to average daily doses of approximately 0, 8, 39, and 74 mg/kg to males and 0, 13, 72, and 136 mg/kg to female mice. Additionally, groups of 10 mice of each sex were exposed to drinking water sodium bromate concentrations of 0, 80, 400, or 800 ppm for 43 weeks, with approximate daily doses of 0, 7, 37, and 65 mg/kg to males and 0, 11, 58, and 107 mg/kg to female mice. NTP reported that survival of exposed groups was similar to that of controls. Mean body weights of 400 and 800 ppm females were less than those of the control groups during most of the studies. No neoplasms or non-neoplastic lesions were reported in either males or females that could be attributed to sodium bromate exposure (NTP, 2007).

Toxicological Effects in Humans

Acute Toxicity

Most of the information regarding toxic effects of bromate to humans rises from acute poisonings. Acute symptoms include nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, and epigastric pain followed by anuria, oliguria, central nervous system depression, tinnitus, deafness, and renal failure (Gosselin, 1976; Kurokawa *et al.*, 1990). Kurokawa *et al.* (1990) described numerous case reports of acute human poisonings due to accidental or intentional ingestion of potassium bromate. Over the 31 cases cited, the accidental intoxications were typically children ingesting bromate-containing hair care products; the adult ingestions were mostly suicides or suicide attempts by hairdressers. The authors reported a range of 12 to 50 grams ingested (with no reference to body weights), and that of the 24 adult cases, nine were fatal.

Chronic Toxicity

We found no epidemiological information describing either noncancer or carcinogenic toxicological consequences of long-term human exposure to bromate compounds.

Neurotoxicity

Campbell (2006) presents a scholarly review of bromate-induced ototoxicity. The author cited multiple references establishing several key issues, including:

- Hearing loss due to high dose bromate ingestion manifests rapidly
- The actual incidence of bromate-induced hearing loss is unknown, leaving the presumption of many cases diagnosed simply as idiopathic

- Bromate-caused hearing loss appears to be refractory; however tinnitus due to bromate exposure may be reversible (dizziness and tinnitus can precede actual hearing loss)
- The primary site for the toxic lesion appears to be in the cochlea; potential effects
 on the VIIIth nerve and the central auditory system have not, as yet, been
 thoroughly evaluated
- The mechanisms of bromate-induced ototoxicity have not been thoroughly investigated, however reduced cochlear oxygen might be one possibility
- No available data link long-term, low-dose bromate exposure with ototoxicity, although if such a connection existed, it could go undetected due to the high rate of idiopathic hearing loss in the general public

The lowest doses associated with ototoxicity have not been determined, and this information is especially lacking for longer-term and lower-dose exposure (Campbell, 2006; Crofton, 2006).

Other Toxic Effects in Humans

We found no information related to human exposure to bromate compounds and immunological, genetic or developmental and reproductive toxicity.

DOSE-RESPONSE ASSESSMENT

Noncancer Effects

As discussed earlier, DeAngelo *et al.* (1998) evaluated the chronic effects and carcinogenic potential of potassium bromate administered via drinking water to male F344 rats and B6C3F₁ mice. Potassium bromate was administered to rats (the more sensitive of the two rodent species) at concentrations of 0, 20, 100, 200, or 400 ppm (0, 1.1, 6.1, 12.9, or 28.7 mg/kg-d bromate) for up to 100 weeks. The researchers observed non-neoplastic pathology of treatment-related increases in hyperplasia of the transitional cells of the renal papilla and pelvis (which they termed urothelial hyperplasia), and described as a marked increase in the number of urothelial cell layers. The authors reported this effect at and above 6.1 mg/kg-d bromate, with the NOAEL being 1.1 mg/kg-d of bromate. This report provides the lowest effect level of the available toxicity studies. We therefore select this rat NOAEL of renal pelvis urothelial hyperplasia as the critical value for determining a non-cancer health-protective value for bromate in drinking water.

Carcinogenic Effects

Overview of Time-to-Tumor and Multi-Site Analyses

Animal cancer bioassays of bromate that are potentially useful for dose-response analysis were carried out in two laboratories: Kurokawa *et al.* (1983, 1986a,b), and DeAngelo *et al.* (1998; Crosby *et al.*, 2000, Wolf *et al.*, 1998). The DeAngelo *et al.* bioassays used more animals per dose group and included more dose groups in the low-dose region compared to the bioassays of Kurokawa *et al.* In addition, individual animal tumor data were available only for the DeAngelo *et al.* bioassays. Therefore, the DeAngelo *et al.* bioassays were chosen as the most appropriate for the cancer dose-response assessment of bromate, which is consistent with the approach of U.S. EPA (2001, 2007).

Dose-related increases in the incidence of mesothelioma, thyroid tumors and kidney tumors were observed in male rats exposed to potassium bromate via drinking water (DeAngelo *et al.*, 1998; Wolf *et al.*, 1998; Crosby *et al.*, 2000). DeAngelo *et al.* also reported a statistically significant increase in the incidence of renal tumors in low-dose male mice; the effect was not dose-related. The analyses discussed below focus on the male rat, the most sensitive sex and species.

The DeAngelo *et al.* (1998) male rat study included multiple sacrifice times; in addition there was an increase in mortality with increasing dose. Time-to-tumor analyses were therefore performed to derive potency estimates for each site affected by bromate. The analyses took into account the early deaths of the animals in the study. Statistical distributions of cancer potency were generated for each site. A composite cancer potency estimate was derived to give an overall measure of carcinogenic activity of the compound by statistically summing the cancer potency distributions for individual tumor sites.

DeAngelo Data Set

Dose-response analysis was carried out on the data in male rats because they were the most sensitive sex/species (DeAngelo *et al.*, 1998). Potassium bromate was administered to five groups of 78 male F344/N rats with target drinking water concentrations of 0, 20, 100, 200, or 400 ppm for up to 100 weeks (DeAngelo *et al.*, 1998; DeAngelo, pers. comm., 2006). There were at most three rats to a cage and they had unlimited access to the water. DeAngelo *et al.* (1998) calculated the mean daily doses from the volume of water that was consumed and the measured water concentrations, estimating mean daily potassium bromate consumption levels of 0, 1.5, 7.9, 16.9, or 37.5 mg/kg-day. OEHHA converted these potassium bromate doses to bromate doses of 0, 1.1, 6.1, 12.9, or 28.7 mg/kg-day from the molecular weight ratio of these chemicals.

DeAngelo *et al.* (1998) described a subset of the full data set from the bromate bioassay. The full data set with all available individual animal data was obtained from DeAngelo (pers. comm., 2006). The published subset consisted of 270 rats that were scheduled to be sacrificed at 100 weeks. The 120 rats not included in this subset were scheduled for sacrifice at 12, 26, 52 and 77 weeks (six at each time per non-zero dose group) (DeAngelo, pers. comm., 2006). U.S. EPA (2001) reported on a dose-response analysis

of the DeAngelo *et al.* male rat bioassay. In that analysis, U.S. EPA included all of the rats in the full data set, regardless of sacrifice time, except it appears that they excluded from the analysis all rats without autopsy or site-specific pathology information. OEHHA conducted the dose-response analysis using the same inclusion criteria as U.S. EPA (2001) (i.e., for a given tumor site, all autopsied animals in the full data set with site-specific pathology information). The male rat data file obtained from DeAngelo is provided in the Appendix.

The tumor types analyzed were mesothelioma, thyroid adenoma or carcinoma, and kidney adenoma or carcinoma. Mesotheliomas primarily originated at the tunica vaginalis testis or the spleen and then spread to the peritoneum (DeAngleo *et al.*, 1998). All of the mesotheliomas were malignant (DeAngelo, pers. comm., 2007). Some other sites where mesotheliomas were found included mesentery, jejunum and colon, pancreas, urinary bladder, stomach, liver, caecum, rectum and kidney (DeAngelo *et al.*, 1998).

DeAngelo *et al.* (1998) only discussed cause of death for the highest dose group, where there was a "significant depression of the body weight gain." Without detailed information about the suspected causes of death for the study rats, for each site, tumor context was set to "incidental" when only a benign tumor was present, "fatal" if a malignant tumor was present, and "censored" if no tumor was present. In the case of mesotheliomas, all were assumed to be malignant and were set to "fatal." Animals were removed from the analysis if there was no site-specific pathology available. Out of the 387 rats, 50 animals had mesotheliomas and 37 were missing site-specific pathology for mesothelioma. Twenty-eight animals had either type of thyroid tumor and 83 were missing site-specific pathology for thyroid tumors. Twenty-nine animals had either type of kidney tumor and 64 were missing site-specific pathology for kidney tumors. The animals missing site-specific pathology data are summarized by dose in Table 5. The tumor incidence data for animals in all scheduled sacrifice groups, after excluding animals with missing site-specific pathology, are shown in Table 6.

Table 5. Number of Male Rats per Dose Group Missing Tumor Pathology (DeAngelo, pers. comm., 2006)

| Bromate Dose | Number Missing Tumor Pathology | | |
|---------------|---------------------------------------|--------|---------|
| (mg/kg-day) | Mesothelioma | Kidney | Thyroid |
| 0 | 7/78 | 9/78 | 18/78 |
| 1.1 | 5/78 | 11/78 | 14/78 |
| 6.1 | 6/78 | 7/78 | 12/78 |
| 12.9 | 8/78 | 15/78 | 17/78 |
| 28.7 | 11/77 | 22/77 | 22/77 |
| Total Missing | 37 | 64 | 83 |
| Total Studied | 389 | 389 | 389 |

July 2008

Table 6. Tumor Incidence in Male Rats Exposed via Drinking Water to Bromate (DeAngelo, pers. comm., 2006)^a

| Bromate Dose | | Tumor Incidence | |
|--------------|--------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|
| (mg/kg-day) | Mesothelioma | Kidney Adenoma or Carcinoma | Thyroid Adenoma or Carcinoma |
| 0 | 0/71 | 1/69 | 0/60 |
| 1.1 | 4/73 | 1/67 | 4/64 |
| 6.1 | 5/72 | 6/71 | 2/66 |
| 12.9 | 10/70 | 3/63 | 5/61 |
| 28.7 | 31/67 | 18/56 | 17/56 |

^aThe animals missing site-specific pathology have been removed from the denominators.

Methods

The lifetime probability of dying with a tumor induced by an average daily dose (d) is often assumed to be described by the multistage model:

$$P(d) = 1 - \exp[-(q_0 + q_1 d + q_2 d^2 + \dots + q_i d^j)]$$
 (1)

with constraints, $q_i \ge 0$ for all i. The q_i parameters are estimated from the animal cancer bioassay data using maximum likelihood techniques. The parameter q_0 provides an estimate of the background lifetime incidence of the tumor. The parameter q_1 is, for small doses, the ratio of excess lifetime cancer risk to the average daily dose received.

Because survival of male rats in the DeAngelo *et al.* (1998; Wolf *et al.*, 1998; Crosby *et al.*, 2000) study was poor, the multistage model may not provide an accurate basis for estimating the risk of cancer. Therefore, a time-dependent analysis was performed using the multistage-in-dose Weibull-in-time model. This model is an extension of the form given above (Eqn. 1), with the probability of tumor by time t given as:

$$P(t,d) = 1 - \exp[-(q_0 + q_1d + ... + q_jd^j)(t - t_0)^k]$$
 (2)

with

$$q_i \ge 0$$
, for all i, and $0 \le t_0 < t$

where t_0 is commonly interpreted as the latency period, and k is the age exponent. In this case, carcinogenic potency for animals is derived by applying a maximum likelihood modeling approach to estimate the parameters $(q_i, t_0, \text{ and } k)$ and generate the distribution of q_1 . The animal cancer potency, q_{animal} , is defined as the upper 95 percent confidence bound on q_1 estimated at 104 weeks, the assumed standard lifetime for rats.

In fitting the multistage Weibull model (Eqn. 2) the maximum number of dose parameters is equal to the number of dose groups (i.e., j+1). The corresponding degree of the multistage-in-dose component of the polynomial varies from 1 to j. The most

parsimonious multistage Weibull model, i.e., the model of lowest order (with regard to the dose polynomial in Eqn. 2), is selected in the following way. First the fit of a model to the data was assessed, and deemed appropriate, using a χ^2 goodness-of-fit test criterion p-value greater than or equal to 0.05. If the model fit was adequate, then a test was performed to determine whether a higher order model provided a significantly better fit. To do this, the likelihood ratio test (LRT) was calculated, with the test statistic being equal to -2(log likelihood_sub-model - log likelihood_full model), where the "sub-model" is nested and has fewer parameters than the "full model." The p-value for the test statistic was calculated using the χ_{df}^2 distribution, where the degrees of freedom (df) are equal to the difference in the number of parameters between the two models. A p-value of less than 0.05 for the LRT was considered to provide enough evidence that the full model (the model with more parameters) should be used in favor of the more parsimonious model.

The two-stage Weibull model $(q_3=0)$ was chosen as the most parsimonious for modeling mesothelioma and thyroid time-to-tumor. The most parsimonious kidney time-to-tumor model was one-stage, where $q_3=q_2=0$. The likelihood was calculated with a Microsoft Excel Visual Basic function. Using this function, distributions of the q_1 estimates were generated for each tumor site. The likelihood was maximized using the Microsoft Excel Solver Add-In for parameters q_0 , q_1 , q_2 (except for kidney, as noted above) and k, and varied by tumor site. The profile likelihood distribution of the potency was traced for each site using a Microsoft Excel Visual Basic procedure.

To take into account multi-site tumorigenicity and to provide the basis for estimating the cumulative risk of any bromate treatment-related tumor, a multi-site cancer potency estimate was derived using a Monte Carlo procedure. The multistage Weibull model parameters were first estimated for each of the treatment-related tumors observed in the male rat bioassay of DeAngelo *et al.* (1998). Statistical distributions, rather than point estimates, were generated for q_1 at each site by tracing the profile likelihood distribution. The q_1 distributions for each of the treatment related sites were then statistically summed using random sampling with 100,000 Monte Carlo trials and assuming independence of the tumor sites. The upper 95 percent confidence bound on the combined distribution was taken as the animal cancer potency (q_{animal}).

In deriving the human cancer potency from animal data it was assumed that dose, when expressed in terms of amount (e.g., milligrams) per body weight scaled to the ¾ power, produces the same cancer incidence, regardless of species. This ¾ scaling approach leads to the following equation for extrapolating animal cancer slope factors to humans:

$$q_{\text{human}} = q_{\text{animal}} \times (bw_{\text{human}} / bw_{\text{animal}})^{1/4}$$
 (2)

where the bw_{human} is the human body weight (assumed to be 70 kg) and bw_{animal} is the animal body weight in kilograms (kg). OEHHA estimated the control male rat body weight based on Figure 1 in DeAngelo *et al.* (1998) to be approximately 0.4 kg, which is consistent with the male rat body weight reported by U.S. EPA (2001).

_

¹ We thank Dr. Edmund Crouch for providing us with an Excel spreadsheet containing a Visual Basic macro and likelihood function to model the probability of tumor using the multistage-indose Weibull-in-time model.

Results

Estimates of the cancer slope factor (q_{human}) derived from the different tumor sites are reported in Table 7 (derived by OEHHA) and Table 8 (derived by U.S. EPA, 2001). The median and upper 95th percentile cancer slope factor estimates are provided for the individual tumor sites and for the combined sites. The corresponding LED₁₀ values are also provided. The LED₁₀ is the lower-bound estimate of the average lifetime dose associated with a ten percent cancer risk and was calculated using the approximation LED₁₀ = - $\ln(0.9)/q_{human}$ where q_{human} represents the upper 95 percent confidence bound on q_1 , after correcting for differences in size between test animals and humans.

Table 7. Human Cancer Potency and LED₁₀ Values for Bromate

| Tumor Type | q _{human} (mg/kg-day) ⁻¹ | | LED ₁₀ (mg/kg-day) |
|------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | Median | 95 th Percentile | Lower 95 th Percentile |
| Mesothelioma | 0.017 | 0.13 | 1.1 |
| Kidney adenoma or carcinoma | 0.074 | 0.11 | 0.96 |
| Thyroid adenoma or carcinoma | ~0 | 0.054 | 2.0 |
| Multi-site | 0.11 | 0.21 | 0.55 |

Bolding indicates basis for estimating human cancer potency

Table 8. Human Cancer Potency and LED_{10} Values for Bromate as Reported by U.S. EPA (2001)

| Tumor Type | q _h (mg/k | uman g-day) ⁻¹ | LED ₁₀ (mg/kg-day) |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | Median | 95 th Percentile | Lower 95 th Percentile |
| Mesothelioma | 0.27 | 0.54 | 0.20 |
| Kidney adenoma or carcinoma | 0.08 | 0.18 | 0.59 |
| Thyroid adenoma or carcinoma | 0.05 | 0.10 | 1.1 |
| Multi-site ^a | 0.41 | 0.70 | 0.15 |

 $^{^{}a}$ Multi-site values for q_{human} were reported by U.S. EPA (2001). Multi-site LED₁₀ value was calculated by OEHHA.

Discussion

In the multistage Weibull time-to-tumor model, it is necessary to designate the tumors as either "fatal," "incidental," "censored," or "unknown." Most of the records where no autopsy data was available were in rats that were found dead or were moribund sacrifices. Since the coding of tumors can affect the maximum likelihood model that is fit to the data, a sensitivity analysis was performed with many combinations of tumor designations and inclusion criteria to determine how various tumor coding schemes affect the cancer potency estimates.

Four scenarios were used for the sensitivity analysis and provide evidence that the current inclusion criteria and tumor coding schemes are appropriate. First, all tumors were considered "incidental" and rats missing pathology information were coded as "censored" (assumed to have tumor incidence at some point beyond death). For this case, the upper bound human cancer potencies were within 0.7 to 1.0-fold of the upper bound values for the individual tumor sites displayed in Table 7. Second, rats with unknown site-specific pathology were excluded from the analysis and all benign and malignant tumors were coded as "incidental," resulting in upper bound estimates within 0.8 to 1.0-fold of the Table 7 values. Third, malignant tumors were coded "fatal," benign tumors were coded "incidental" and the animals without site-specific pathology were coded as "unknown." In this case, the upper bound estimates on human cancer potency were within 0.9 to 1.5-fold of the values provided in Table 7. Lastly, all benign tumors were coded "incidental," malignant tumors coded "fatal," and animals without autopsy information coded "incidental," under the assumption that these unknowns could have had a tumor. Including these missing records and coding them as "incidental" resulted in upper bound potency values within 0.9 to 1.1-fold of the values reported in Table 7. In all sensitivity analyses, the upper bound human cancer potencies were within 0.7 to 1.5-fold of those reported for the individual tumor sites in Table 7, providing evidence of adequate inclusion criteria and tumor coding.

The results reported in Table 7 differ from those reported by U.S. EPA (2001, 2007) and reproduced in Table 8. Some differences between the OEHHA and U.S. EPA analyses that could account for the different results are:

- (1) The site-specific tumor incidence counts used by OEHHA do not match the counts in the U.S. EPA 2001 document; however, they are quite close. OEHHA obtained a recently updated data file directly from Dr. DeAngelo and established counts based on that data file (see Appendix).
- (2) For mesothelioma and thyroid tumors, the model that best fit the data according to the likelihood ratio test was two-stage (which included a linear and a squared term in dose) whereas U.S. EPA chose the one-stage model for all three sites.
- (3) U.S. EPA coded all tumors as incidental, whereas OEHHA coded malignant tumors as "fatal" and benign tumors as "incidental."
- (4) Tox_Risk allows the user to specify the natural lifespan at which cancer potency is estimated (typically 104 weeks for rodents). It is unclear what lifespan U.S. EPA used in their computation of animal potency. In the OEHHA analysis, animal

potencies were calculated using an assumed rat lifetime of 728 days (104 weeks). Using a lifespan of more than 104 weeks would increase the potency estimates.

The cancer potency estimate of 0.21 (mg/kg-day)⁻¹ based on the multi-site analysis in male rats is chosen as the most appropriate cancer potency for bromate. This estimate was derived using the time-to-tumor multi-site approach based on the tumor data for mesothelioma, kidney tumors and thyroid tumors in the male rat, which was the sex and species observed to be most susceptible to the carcinogenic effects of bromate.

CALCULATION OF PHG

Noncancer Effects

For estimation of a health-protective concentration of a chemical in drinking water, an acceptable daily dose of the chemical from all sources will first be calculated. This involves incorporation of appropriate estimates of uncertainty in the extrapolation of the critical toxic dose from human or animal studies to the estimation of a lifetime daily dose for that is unlikely to result in any toxic effects. For this purpose, the following equation will be used:

 $ADD = \underline{NOAEL/LOAEL \text{ in mg/kg-day}}$

UF

where,

ADD = acceptable daily dose, an estimated maximum daily dose which

can be consumed by humans for an entire lifetime without

toxic effects;

NOAEL/LOAEL = no-observed-adverse-effect level or lowest-observed-adverse-

effect level in the critical study;

UF = combined uncertainty factor.

Calculation of a public health-protective concentration (C, in mg/L) for a chemical in drinking water incorporates the ADD in the following equation for noncarcinogenic endpoints:

 $C = \underline{ADD \ mg/kg-day \ x \ RSC}$

L/kg-day

where,

RSC = relative source contribution (usually 20 to 80 percent, expressed as

0.20 to 0.80);

L/kg-day = upper 95th percentile of the daily tap water consumption of the critical population for this case.

From DeAngelo (1998), the noncancer NOAEL = 1.1 mg/kg-d of bromate, based on renal pelvis urothelial hyperplasia in male rats. The combined uncertainty factor should be 100, based on 10 for intraspecies variation and 10 for intraspecies variation. Thus, the recommended acceptable daily dose is:

$$ADD = \underline{1.1 \text{ mg/kg-day}} = 0.011 \text{ mg/kg-day}$$

$$100$$

Bromate exposure from food could be significant, and concentrations found in water are commonly small; thus it is clear that multiple exposure sources are relevant. However, data are inadequate to accurately estimate a relative source contribution from food versus water, so the default value of 0.2 is considered most appropriate. Because the critical toxic effect is from chronic exposure, a long-term, general population drinking water consumption rate seems most defensible. We utilize the upper 95th percentile of municipal water consumption for the general population, 0.044 L/kg-day, from the most recent U.S. EPA analysis (U.S. EPA, 2004), to ensure that high-end water consumers are accounted for. A public health-protective concentration (C, in mg/L) for non-cancer effects of bromate in drinking water is then calculated as:

$$C = \frac{0.011 \text{ mg/kg-day x } 0.2}{0.044 \text{ L/kg-day}} = 50 \text{ ppb}$$

Carcinogenic Effects

We estimate a health-protective concentration in drinking water for protection against potential carcinogenic effects from the calculated cancer slope factor q_{human} in the following expression:

$$C \hspace{1cm} = \hspace{1cm} \frac{R}{q_{human} \hspace{1cm} x \hspace{1cm} L/kg\text{-}day} \hspace{1cm} = \hspace{1cm} mg/L$$

where,

R = the *de minimis* level for lifetime excess individual cancer risk

(a default of one in one million, or 10⁻⁶);

 q_{human} = cancer slope factor, the upper 95 percent confidence limit of the

cancer potency slope (q1*) converted to human equivalents;

L/kg-day = the upper 95th percentile of consumption of municipal water for the

general population, or 0.044 L/kg-day (U.S. EPA, 2004).

Thus, the estimated drinking water concentration corresponding to a one in one million cancer risk, utilizing the cancer potency for potassium bromate, is:

$$C = \frac{1 \times 10^{-6}}{0.21 \text{ (mg/kg-d)}^{-1} \times 0.044 \text{ L/kg-d}} = 0.00011 \text{ mg/L}$$

$$C = 0.0001 \text{ mg/L (rounded)} = 0.1 \text{ ppb}$$

In order to protect the entire population from carcinogenic risk from exposure to bromate in drinking water, the above estimated health-protective concentration of 0.1 ppb (rounded to one significant figure), is proposed as the PHG for bromate in drinking water. Because this value is much lower than the non-cancer value, the population will also be protected against non-cancer effects at this exposure level. The proposed PHG is judged to be adequately protective against potential effects in any known sensitive subpopulation, including pregnant women, neonates, infants, children, and the elderly. Risks of 10⁻⁵ and 10⁻⁴ are associated with lifetime exposure to concentrations of 1 ppb and 10 ppb, respectively.

RISK CHARACTERIZATION

For the noncancer effects PHG calculation, we chose the chronic information presented in DeAngelo *et al.* (1998) on the adverse effects of potassium bromate administered via drinking water on kidneys of male F344 rats. The DeAngelo values present a valid NOAEL for chronic, noncancer exposure.

We base the carcinogenic effects PHG calculation on the DeAngelo *et al.* bioassays because they used more animals per dose group and included more dose groups in the low-dose region compared to the bioassays of Kurokawa *et al.* (1986a,b). In addition, individual animal tumor data were available only for the DeAngelo *et al.* bioassays. Selection of the DeAngelo *et al.* bioassays as the most appropriate for the cancer dose-response assessment of bromate is consistent with the approach of U.S. EPA (2001, 2007).

U.S. EPA (2007) estimated a reference dose for bromate of 0.004 mg/kg-day based on the DeAngelo (1998) study, with a NOAEL of 1.5 mg/kg-day KBrO₃ (1.1 mg/kg-day of BrO₃) and a combined UF of 300. The extra factor of 3 used by U.S. EPA is to account for deficiencies in the database, specifically developmental studies in two species and a multigeneration study.

We select the *de minimis* cancer risk value of 0.1 ppb over the noncancer value of 50 ppb for the proposed PHG as a matter of public health prudence, to protect against all health risks.

We observe that bromate is an unusual chemical in that although it is a Group B2 probable human carcinogen (as rated by U.S. EPA in 2001 based on the 1996 cancer guidelines), its use as a food additive is still permitted. Importantly, it is permitted only

in the raw ingredients (flour) and the baking process removes most of the chemical (WHO, 2005; IPCS, 2006). The possible presence of bromate-related health effects in drinking water is no less important because of its permitted use in the food industry.

The OEHHA PHG value is most comparable to the U.S. EPA Maximum Contaminant Level Goal (MCLG). For carcinogens, the U.S. EPA sets all MCLGs at zero, as a matter of policy, while OEHHA provides a specific *de minimis* risk level for reference by the California Department of Public Health in developing an MCL. For bromate, the 0.1 ppb PHG value that we propose is much lower than the U.S. EPA MCL of 10 ppb. U.S. EPA based their MCL on a 1x10⁻⁴ risk level (U.S. EPA, 1998), and the value actually calculated for bromate was apparently 5 ppb. This was then modified to 10 ppb to account for available analytical methods, and the resulting U.S. EPA regulatory level was based on the practical quantification limit (PQL). Differences in modeling approaches account for the remainder of the dissimilarity between the U.S. EPA risk assessment and the value of the proposed PHG.

OTHER REGULATORY STANDARDS

The U.S. EPA MCLG for bromate in drinking water is set at zero, based on carcinogenicity. The MCL is set at 10 ppb, based on the PQL (U.S. EPA, 1998). Bromate was listed May 31, 2002, as a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer, under the authoritative bodies mechanism based on the U.S. EPA listing as a carcinogen. The Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry has no evaluation or toxicological profile for bromate or its salts. IARC concluded in Volume 73 (1999) that "There is inadequate evidence in humans for the carcinogenicity of potassium bromate. There is sufficient evidence in experimental animals for the carcinogenicity of potassium bromate. Overall evaluation: Potassium bromate is possibly carcinogenic to humans (Group 2B)" (IARC, 1999). The available regulatory standards are summarized in Table 9.

Table 9. Regulatory Standards for Bromate

| Agency | Standard or Criterion | Level | Comment |
|------------------|--------------------------|--------|---|
| U.S. EPA | MCL | 10 ppb | Based on PQL |
| U.S. EPA | MCLG | 0 ppb | Goal, based on carcinogenicity |
| FDA | | 75 ppm | KBrO ₃ in flour |
| FDA | | 75 ppm | KBrO ₃ in malting barley |
| FDA | MRDL | 10 ppb | Bromate in bottled water |
| Health Canada | IMAC | 10 ppb | Similar to U. S. EPA MCL, also based on PQL |

REFERENCES

Amy G, Douville C, *et al.* (2000). Bromate formation under ozonation conditions to inactivate Cryptosporidium. Water Sci Technol 41(7):61-66.

BCL (1992). Determination of Br and BrO₃ (bromate) in guinea pig serum following *in vivo* exposure to commercial hair neutralizer. Bromine Compounds, Ltd. Unpublished report submitted to CIR. 1101 17th Street NW, Suite 310, Washington, DC 20036.

Bouland S, Duguet J, *et al.* (2005). Evaluation of bromate ions level introduced by sodium hypochlorite during post-disinfection of drinking water. Environ Technol 26(2):121-5.

Campbell K (2006). Bromate-induced ototoxicity. Toxicology 221:205-211.

CDC (2003). Potassium Bromate. International Chemical Safety Cards. National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA. Accessed at: http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/ipcsneng/neng1115.html.

CDC (2006). Sodium Bromate. International Chemical Safety Cards. National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA. Accessed at: http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/ipcsneng/neng0196.html.

Chuu JJ, Hsu CJ, et al. (2000). The detrimental effects of potassium bromate and thioglycolate on auditory brainstem response of guinea pigs. Chin J Physiol 43(2):91-6.

CIR (1994). Final report on the safety assessment of sodium bromate and potassium bromate. Cosmetic Ingredient Review. J Amer Coll Toxicol 13(5):400-414.

CIR (2006). Potassium Bromate. In: Ingredients found safe, with qualifications. Cosmetic Ingredient Review. Accessed at: http://www.cir-safety.org/staff_files/safewithqualifications.pdf.

Cousins C, Skehan SJ, Rolph SM, Flaxman ME, Ballinger JR, Bird NJ, Barber RW, Peters AM (2002). Comparative microvascular exchange kinetics of (77Br)bromide and (99m)Tc-DTPA in humans. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 29(5):655-62.

CRC (1989). CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 69th Ed. Weast RC, ed., CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.

Crofton K (2006). Bromate: Concern for developmental neurotoxicity? Toxicology 221:212-216.

Crosby LM, Morgan KT, et al. (2000). Origin and distribution of potassium bromate-induced testicular and peritoneal mesotheliomas in rats. Toxicol Pathol 28(2):253-66.

CTFA (1991). Skin penetration of sodium bromate. Cosmetic, Toiletry, and Fragrance Association. Unpublished data submitted to CIR (April 19, 1991). 1101 17th Street NW, Suite 310, Washington, DC 20036.

DeAngelo AB, George MH, Kilburn SR, Moore TM, Wolf DC (1998). Carcinogenicity of potassium bromate administered in the drinking water to male B6C3F₁ mice and F344/N rats. Toxicol Pathol 26(5):587-94.

DeAngelo AB (2006). Individual rat data from the study of DeAngelo *et al.*, 1998 (see appendix). Personal Communication.

Dupuis B (1997). The chemistry and toxicology of potassium bromate. Cereal Foods World 42(3):171-183.

Fawell J, Walker M (2006). Approaches to determining regulatory values for carcinogens with particular reference to bromate. Toxicology 221:149-153.

FDA (2006a). Guide to Inspections of Cosmetic Product Manufacturers. Accessed at: http://www.fda.gov/ora/inspect_ref/igs/cosmet.html.

FDA (2006b). *Cryptosporidium parvum*. In: Bad Bug Book, Foodborne Pathogenic Microorganisms and Natural Toxins Handbook. Accessed at: http://www.cfsan.fda.gov/~mow/chap24.html.

FDA (2006c). Food Additive Status List. Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition, Food and Drug Administration, Washington, DC. Accessed at: http://www.cfsan.fda.gov/~dms/opa-appa.html.

FDA (2007a). Chapter I, Subchapter B – Food for human consumption. Part 136 – Bakery products. Subpart B – Requirements for Specific Standardized Bakery Products. Food and Drug Administration, Washington, DC. Code of Federal Regulations Title 21, Vol 2 (21 CFR 136.110). April 1, 2007. Accessed at: http://www.gpoaccess.gov/cfr/retrieve.html.

FDA (2007b). Chapter I, Subchapter B – Food for human consumption. Part 172 – Food additives permitted for direct addition to food for human consumption. Subpart H. Other specific usage additives. Food and Drug Administration, Washington, DC. Code of Federal Regulations Title 21, Volume 3 (21CFR172.730). January 1, 2007. Accessed at: http://www.gpoaccess.gov/cfr/retrieve.html.

Fisher N, Hutchinson JB, Berry R, Hardy J, Ginocchio A, Waite V (1979). Long-term toxicity and carcinogenicity studies of the bread improver potassium bromate1. Studies in rats. Food Cosmet Toxicol 17(1):33-9.

Fujii M, Oikawa K, *et al.* (1984). Metabolism of potassium bromate in rats 1. *In vivo* studies. Chemosphere 13:1207-1212.

Ginocchio A, Waite V, *et al.* (1979). "Long-term toxicity and carcinogenicity studies of the bread improver potassium bromate 2. Studies in mice. Food Cosmet Toxicol 17(1):41-7.

Gosselin RE, Hodge HC, Smith HR, Gleason M (1976). Bromate. In: Clinical Toxicology of Commercial Products, Acute Poisoning, 4th ed. Williams and Wilkins, Baltimore, MD. pp. 66-68.

Guo T, McCay J, *et al.* (2001). Immunotoxicity of sodium bromate in female B6C3F₁ mice: a 28-day drinking water study. Drug Chem Toxicol 24(2):129-49.

Haag W, Holgne J (1983). Ozonation of bromide containing waters: Kinetics of formation of hypobromous acid and bromate. Environ Sci Technol 17:262-267.

Health Canada (1999). Bromate Guidelines. Guidelines for Canadian drinking water quality – supporting documents. Edited January 1999. Accessed at: http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/ewh-semt/alt_formats/hecs-sesc/pdf/pubs/water-eau/bromate/bromate_e.pdf

IARC (1999). Potassium bromate. In: IARC Monograph on the Evaluation of the Carcinogenic Risk of Chemicals to Humans. Summary data report and evaluation, Vol. 73:481-96. Accessed at:

http://monographs.iarc.fr/ENG/Monographs/vol73/volume73.pdf.

IPCS (2000). Disinfectants and disinfectant byproducts. Environmental Health Criteria 216, United Nations Environment Programme, International Labour Organization, WHO, International Program on Chemical Safety. Accessed at:

http://www.inchem.org/documents/ehc/ehc/ehc216.htm#SubSectionNumber:1.2.9.

IPCS (2006). Potassium Bromate. WHO Food Additive Series 24. International Program on Chemical Safety. Accessed at:

http://www.inchem.org/documents/jecfa/jecmono/v024je03.htm.

Kamata S, Hozawa J, Ishida T, Kimura N, Nozawa I (1983). [Clinical and experimental studies on ototoxicity of potassium bromate] [in Japanese] Nippon Jibiinkoka Gakkai Kaiho 86(8):863-9.

Kawana K, Nakaoka T, *et al.* (1991). Toxicological study of potassium bromate: I. Absorption, metabolism and excretion of potassium bromate after oral administration in rats. Eisie Kagaku [English translation of abstract] 34(4):258-265.

Keith J, Pacey G, *et al.* (2006). Experimental results from the reaction of bromate ion with synthetic and real gastric juices. Toxicology 221:225-228.

Kemsley J (2008). Bromate in Los Angeles water. Chem Eng News 85(52):9. Accessed at: http://pubs3.acs.org/cen/news/85/i52/8552notw4.html.

Kurata Y, Diwan B, *et al.* (1992). Lack of renal tumour-initiating activity of a single dose of potassium bromate, a genotoxic renal carcinogen in male F344/NCr rats. Food Chem Toxicol 30(3):251-9.

Kurokawa Y, Hayashi Y, *et al.* (1983). Carcinogenicity of potassium bromate administered orally to F344 rats. J Natl Cancer Inst 71(5) 965-71.

Kurokawa Y, Aoki S, *et al.* (1986a). Dose-response studies on the carcinogenicity of potassium bromate in F344 rats after long-term oral administration. J Natl Cancer Inst 77(4):977-82.

Kurokawa Y, Takayam S, *et al.* (1986b). Long-term *in vivo* carcinogenicity test of potassium bromate, sodium hypochlorite, and sodium chlorite conducted in Japan. Environ Health Perspect 69:221-235.

Kurokawa Y, Maekawa A, *et al.* (1990). Toxicity and carcinogenicity of potassium bromate - a new renal carcinogen. Environ Health Perspect 87:309-35.

Kutom A, Bazilinski N, *et al.* (1990). Bromate intoxication: hairdressers' anuria. Am J Kidney Dis 15:184-5.

Mack R (1988). Round up the usual suspects. Potassium bromate poisoning. N C Med J 49(5):243-5.

Mercer C (2006). Breaking News on Food Safety & Quality Control: FDA to recall more bottled water in bromate scare. Accessed at:

http://www.foodqualitynews.com/news/ng.asp?id=70089-bromate-bottled-water-fda.

Merck (1983). The Merck Index, 10th Ed. Windholz M and Budavari S, eds. Merck and Co., Inc., Rahway, NJ.

 $Merck~(2004).~Sodium~Bromate.~Chemdat~MSDS.~Accessed~at:~http://www.merck-chemicals.com/is-bin/INTERSHOP.enfinity/WFS/Merck-International-Site/en_US/-/EUR/ShowDocument-Protected?ProductAttachmentUUID= \\$

U5ib.s1OtucAAAEW3IBwbT4y&ProductUUID=hUeb.s1OV5UAAAEWH0hwbT2_&PortalCatalogUUID=Uc6b.s1LfzAAAAEW6tYfVhTl.

Morgan K, Ni H, *et al.* (2002). Application of cDNA microarray technology to *in vitro* toxicology and the selection of genes for a real-time RT-PCR-based screen for oxidative stress in Hep-G2 cells. Toxicol Pathol 30(4):435-51.

Nakano KO, Toykokuni S, *et al.* (1989). Renal changes induced by chronic oral administration of potassium bromate or ferric nitrilotriacetate in Wistar rats [in Japanese, abstract in English]. Jpn Arch Intern Med 36:41-47.

NTP (2007). Toxicology studies of sodium bromate in genetically modified mice and carcinogenicity studies of sodium bromate in genetically modified mice. NTP Program Report. National Toxicology Program, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709. Accessed at: http://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/files/GMM6_FINAL_Web.pdf.

Pavelka S, Babický A, Vobecký M, Lener J (2000). Bromide kinetics and distribution in the rat. II. Distribution of bromide in the body. Biol Trace Elem Res 76(1):67-74.

Sax I (1979). Sodium Bromate. In: Dangerous properties of industrial materials, 5th Ed. Van Nostrand Reinhold Co., New York, NY. p. 977

Takamura N, Kurokawa Y, *et al.* (1985). Long-term oral administration of potassium bromate in male Syrian golden hamsters. Sci Rep Res Inst Tohoku Univ 32:43-6.

Trepanier LA, Babish JG (1995). Pharmacokinetic properties of bromide in dogs after the intravenous and oral administration of single doses. Res Vet Sci 58(3):248-51.

U.K. FSA (2002). Antimony, Arsenic, Bromate and Nickel Contents of Bottled Water. Food Standards Agency, U.K. ((Number 28/02)). Accessed at: http://www.food.gov.uk/science/surveillance/fsis2002/FSISbadmineralsbottlewater.

Umemura T, Kitamura Y, *et al.* (2004). Dose-related changes of oxidative stress and cell proliferation in kidneys of male and female F334 rats exposed to potassium bromate. Cancer Sci 95(5):393-398.

U.S. EPA (1998). National primary drinking water regulations: Disinfectants and disinfection byproducts. Federal Register 63(241):69405-69411.

U.S. EPA (2001). Toxicological Review of Bromate (CAS No. 15541-45-4). Prepared in support of the Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS). U.S. Environmental Protection

Agency, Washington, DC. EPA/635/R-01/002. Accessed at: www.epa.gov/ncea/iris/toxreviews/1002-tr.pdf.

U.S. EPA (2004). Estimated per capita water ingestion and body weight in the United States – an update. October, 2004. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC. EPA/822/R-00/001. Accessed at:

http://www.epa.gov/waterscience/criteria/drinking/percapita/2004.pdf.

U.S. EPA (2006). Disinfection Byproduct Information. Information Collection Rule, Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC. Accessed at: http://www.epa.gov/enviro/html/icr/dbp.html.

U.S. EPA (2007). Bromate (CASRN 15541-45-4). (Last updated 06/06/2001). Integrated Risk Information System, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC. Accessed at: http://www.epa.gov/iris/subst/1002.htm.

Van Dijk-Looijaard A, Van Genderen J (2000). Levels of exposure from drinking water. Food Chem Toxicol 38(S1):S37-42.

WEEL (2007). Workplace environmental exposure level guide: potassium bromate. American Industrial Hygiene Association, Fairfax, VA. Accessed at: http://www.aiha.org/content/insideaiha/volunteer+groups/weelcomm.htm.

WHO (2004). Disinfectants and disinfectant by-products. In: Guidelines for Drinking Water Quality. Vol 2. Health criteria and other supporting information, 2nd Ed. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland. p. 822-8. Accessed at: http://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/dwq/2edvol2p2e.pdf.

WHO (2005). Bromate in drinking water. Background document for the development of WHO guidelines for drinking water quality. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland (WHO/SDE/WSH/05.08/78). Accessed at: http://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/dwq/chemicals/bromate030406.pdf.

WHO (2006). Bromate. In: Guidelines for drinking-water quality. Vol 1. Recommendations, First Addendum to Third Edition. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland. pp. 315-6. Accessed at: http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2006/9241546964_eng.pdf.

Watanabe S, Togashi S, *et al.* (2002). Contribution of nitric oxide to potassium bromate-induced elevation of methaemoglobin concentration in mouse blood. Biol Pharm Bull 25(10):1315-1319.

Weinberg H, Delcomyn C, Unnam V (2003). Bromate in chlorinated drinking waters: occurrence and implications for future regulation. Environ Sci Technol 37(14):3104-10.

Wolf DC, Crosby LM, George MH, Kilburn SR, Moore TM, Miller RT, DeAngelo AB (1998). Time- and dose-dependent development of potassium bromate-induced tumors in male Fischer 344 rats. Toxicol Pathol 26(6):724-9.

Zdolsek JH, Lisander B, Hahn RG (2005). Measuring the size of the extracellular fluid space using bromide, iohexol, and sodium dilution. Anesth Analg 101(6):1770-7.

APPENDIX

Original data file on individual male rat tumor pathology from DeAngelo (personal communication, 2006); copied from Excel with notes added by OEHHA (italicized).

STUDY 9302 IH Pathology/Animal Correlation/Cancer QA F344 Rat KBrO3

START DATE: JULY 16, 1992 OCTOBER 8, 1993

| | 1 Control | ED: Early Death | Transcribe: 1-(6-9)98 MHGeorge | OEHHA Notes: |
|----------------------|------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|
| FILE: 9302wqa | 2 0.4 g/L KBrO3 | AA: Advanced Autolysis | 2nd Check: | SS: Scheduled Sacrifice |
| Mortality and Cancer | 3 0.2 g/L KBrO3 | NTS: No Tissue Saved | | FD: Found Dead |
| | 4 0.1 g/L KBrO3 | | | MS: Moribund Sacrifice |
| | 5 0.02 g/L KBrO3 | | | |

| | | ANIMAL | DAY OF | WEEKS | CAUSE OF | Pathology | Kidney | Kidney | Kidney | Mesoth | Thyroid | Thyroid | Thyroid |
|-----------|------|--------|--------|-------|----------|-----------|---------|-----------|--------|--------|---------|-----------|---------|
| GROUP | CAGE | NO. | DEATH | | DEATH | +Yes/No | Adenoma | Carcinoma | HyP | | Adenoma | Carcinoma | HYP |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 CONTROL | 1 | 092886 | 6JAN94 | 12 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1 CONTROL | 1 | 375003 | 6JAN94 | 12 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1 CONTROL | 1 | 369543 | 6JAN94 | 12 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 CONTROL | 2 | 306021 | 6JAN94 | 12 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1 CONTROL | 2 | 638536 | 6JAN94 | 12 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1 CONTROL | 2 | 373768 | 6JAN94 | 12 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 CONTROL | 3 | 110820 | 8APR94 | 26 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1 CONTROL | 3 | 041032 | 8APR94 | 26 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1 CONTROL | 3 | 106776 | 8APR94 | 26 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 CONTROL | 4 | 612623 | 8APR94 | 26 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| GROUP | CAGE | ANIMAL NO. | DAY OF DEATH | WEEKS | CAUSE OF | Pathology +Yes/No | Kidney Adenoma | Kidney Carcinoma | Kidney HyP | Mesoth | Thyroid Adenoma | Thyroid Carcinoma | Thyroid HYP |
|-----------|------|---------------|-----------------|-------|----------|----------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------|--------|--------------------|----------------------|----------------|
| 4 CONTROL | 4 | 000550 | 0.4.00.0.4 | 00 | 00 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1 CONTROL | 4 | 032558 | 8APR94 | 26 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1 CONTROL | 4 | 825023 | 8APR94 | 26 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1 CONTROL | 5 | 638873 | 7OCT94 | 52 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1 CONTROL | 5 | 369051 | 7OCT94 | 52 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1 CONTROL | 5 | 008610 | 7OCT94 | 52 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1 CONTROL | 6 | 831843 | 7OCT94 | 52 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1 CONTROL | 6 | 040540 | 7OCT94 | 52 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1 CONTROL | 6 | 608608 | 7OCT94 | 52 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1 CONTROL | 7 | 362591 | 5APR95 | 77 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1 CONTROL | 7 | 565332 | 5APR95 | 77 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1 CONTROL | 7 | 610040 | 5APR95 | 77 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1 CONTROL | 8 | 362811 | 5APR95 | 77 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1 CONTROL | 8 | 036258 | 5APR95 | 77 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1 CONTROL | 8 | 776520 | 5APR95 | 77 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1 CONTROL | 9 | 366607 | 9MAY95 | 82 | MS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| 1 CONTROL | 9 | 611056 | 28AUG95 | 98 | MS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1 CONTROL | 9 | 824283 | 20SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1 CONTROL | 10 | 615007 | 22MAY95 | 84 | FD | AA | | | | | | | |
| 1 CONTROL | 10 | 639272 | 20SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1 CONTROL | 10 | 368563 | 17AUG95 | 96 | MS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1 CONTROL | 11 | 039586 | 20SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1 CONTROL | 11 | 368307 | 20SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1 CONTROL | 11 | 029379 | 20SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1 CONTROL | 12 | 365631 | 20SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

36

| | | ANIMAL | DAY OF | WEEKS | CAUSE OF | Pathology | Kidney | Kidney | Kidney | Mesoth | Thyroid | Thyroid | Thyroid |
|-----------|--------|---------|---------|-------|----------|-----------|---------|-----------|--------|--------|---------|-----------|---------|
| GROUP | CAGE | NO. | DEATH | | DEATH | +Yes/No | Adenoma | Carcinoma | HyP | | Adenoma | Carcinoma | HYP |
| | | | | | | | _ | _ | | | | | |
| 1 CONTROL | 12 | 609596 | 18AUG95 | 96 | FD | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | _ | _ | |
| 1 CONTROL | 12 | 639780 | 20SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1 CONTROL | 13 | 035362 | 14AUG95 | 96 | FD | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | | | |
| 1 CONTROL | 13 | 105277 | 28AUG95 | 98 | MS | + | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | | | |
| 1 CONTROL | 13 | 615884 | 20SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1 CONTROL | 14 | 032286 | 20SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1 CONTROL | 14 | 871006 | 20SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1 CONTROL | 14 | 036822 | 20SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1 CONTROL | 15 | 293826 | 20SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1 CONTROL | 15 | 037518 | 20SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1 CONTROL | 15 | 612020 | 4MAY95 | 81 | MS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | | | |
| 1 CONTROL | 16 | 827623 | 9MAR95 | 73 | MS | ED | | | | | | | |
| 1 CONTROL | 16 | 037038 | 20SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1 CONTROL | 16 | 610576 | 20SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1 CONTROL | 17 | 367119 | 6JUN95 | 86 | FD | + | | | | 0 | | | |
| 1 CONTROL | 17 | 036514 | 20SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 CONTROL | 17 | 826831 | 20SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 CONTROL | 18 | 892268 | 27MAR95 | 76 | MS | ED | | | | | | | |
| 1 CONTROL | 18 | 866801 | 3MAY95 | 81 | MS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | | | |
| 1 CONTROL | 18 | 893090 | 3MAY95 | 81 | MS | + | | | | 0 | | | |
| 1 CONTROL | 19 | 004068 | 11JAN94 | 13 | MS | MISSING | | | | | | | |
| 1 CONTROL | 19 | 118118 | 21SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 CONTROL | 19 | 375617 | 21SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 1 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 CONTROL | 20 | 009108 | 21SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DRAFT | FOR PI | IBLIC C | OMMENT | Γ | | | | | | | | | |

37

| | | ANIMAL | DAY OF | WEEKS | CAUSE OF | Pathology | Kidney | Kidney | Kidney | Mesoth | Thyroid | Thyroid | Thyroid |
|------------|------|--------|-----------------------|-------|----------|-----------|---------|-----------|--------|--------|---------|-----------|---------|
| GROUP | CAGE | NO. | DEATH | | DEATH | +Yes/No | Adenoma | Carcinoma | HyP | | Adenoma | Carcinoma | HYP |
| 4 CONTROL | 00 | 007040 | 1055005 | 70 | ED. | FD. | | | | | | | |
| 1 CONTROL | 20 | 007040 | 10FEB95 | 70 | FD | ED | | | | | | | |
| 1 CONTROL | 20 | 017308 | 12SEP95 | 100 | FD | AA | | | | | | | |
| 1 CONTROL | 21 | 122327 | 21SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | | | |
| 1 CONTROL | 21 | 121807 | 21SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 CONTROL | 21 | 109801 | 21SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 CONTROL | 22 | 375562 | 21SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 CONTROL | 22 | 016788 | 21SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 CONTROL | 22 | 852606 | 21SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 CONTROL | 00 | 000000 | 4.4 !! !! !! !! !! !! | 07 | MO | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | | | |
| 1 CONTROL | 23 | 000296 | 14JUN95 | 87 | MS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 CONTROL | 23 | 067837 | 21SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 CONTROL | 23 | 791623 | 21SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 CONTROL | 24 | 104809 | 21SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 CONTROL | 24 | 775595 | 2FEB95 | 68 | FD | NTS | | | | | | | |
| 1 CONTROL | 24 | 892382 | 21SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 CONTROL | 25 | 022772 | 28AUG95 | 98 | MS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | | | |
| 1 CONTROL | 25 | 836362 | 21SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 CONTROL | 126 | 369559 | 21SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 CONTROL | 126 | 828051 | 21SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 CONTROL | 127 | 828015 | 21SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 CONTROL | 127 | 632372 | 21SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | | | | - | - | | - | - | - | - |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 26 | 065561 | 6JAN94 | 12 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 26 | 374073 | 6JAN94 | 12 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 26 | 022512 | 6JAN94 | 12 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

| | | ANIMAL | DAY OF | WEEKS | CAUSE OF | Pathology | Kidney | Kidney | Kidney | Mesoth | Thyroid | Thyroid | Thyroid |
|------------|------|--------|---------|-------|----------|-----------|---------|-----------|--------|--------|---------|-----------|---------|
| GROUP | CAGE | NO. | DEATH | | DEATH | +Yes/No | Adenoma | Carcinoma | HyP | | Adenoma | Carcinoma | HYP |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 27 | 020556 | 6JAN94 | 12 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 27 | 773123 | 6JAN94 | 12 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 27 | 786339 | 6JAN94 | 12 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 28 | 007092 | 8APR94 | 26 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 28 | 358027 | 8APR94 | 26 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 28 | 613861 | 8APR94 | 26 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 29 | 264117 | 8APR94 | 26 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 29 | 625035 | 8APR94 | 26 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 29 | 573619 | 8APR94 | 26 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 30 | 820631 | 7OCT94 | 52 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 30 | 022279 | 7OCT94 | 52 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 30 | 333302 | 7OCT94 | 52 | SS | + | 1 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 31 | 335030 | 7OCT94 | 52 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 31 | 635587 | 7OCT94 | 52 | SS | + | 1 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 31 | 772344 | 7OCT94 | 52 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 32 | 545317 | 5APR95 | 77 | SS | + | 1 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 32 | 541869 | 5APR95 | 77 | SS | + | 1 | 0 | | 1 | 0 | 1 | |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 32 | 569283 | 5APR95 | 77 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 1 | 1 | 0 | |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 33 | 607029 | 5APR95 | 77 | SS | + | 1 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 33 | 301264 | 20OCT94 | 53 | FD | ED | | | | | | | |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 33 | 330514 | 5APR95 | 77 | SS | + | 1 | 0 | | 1 | 0 | 1 | |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 34 | 311066 | 13MAR95 | 74 | FD | NTS | | | | | | | |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 34 | 032120 | 13FEB95 | 70 | MS | ED | | | | | | | |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 34 | 122608 | 5APR95 | 77 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 1 | 0 | 0 | |

| | | ANIMAL | DAY OF | WEEKS | CAUSE OF | Pathology | Kidney | Kidney | Kidney | Mesoth | Thyroid | Thyroid | Thyroid |
|--------------|------|--------|----------|-------|----------|-----------|---------|-----------|--------|--------|---------|-----------|---------|
| GROUP | CAGE | NO. | DEATH | | DEATH | +Yes/No | Adenoma | Carcinoma | HyP | | Adenoma | Carcinoma | HYP |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 35 | 028034 | 18JUN95 | 88 | FD | + | | _ | _ | 1 | _ | _ | _ |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 35 | 365016 | 11AUG95 | 96 | SS | + | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 35 | 268566 | 11AUG95 | 96 | SS | + | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 36 | 082568 | 11AUG95 | 96 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 36 | 339048 | 4AUG95 | 95 | FD | AA? | | | | 0 | | | |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 36 | 364060 | 11AUG95 | 96 | SS | + | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 37 | 638091 | 13APR95 | 78 | MS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 37 | 801357 | 11AUG95 | 96 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 37 | 568599 | 2MAY95 | 81 | FD | + | - | - | - | 1 | | - | - |
| 0.0.41/00000 | 20 | 700007 | 44411005 | 00 | 00 | | 0 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 38 | 780337 | 11AUG95 | 96 | SS | + | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 38 | 031106 | 11AUG95 | 96 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 38 | 537769 | 18JUL95 | 92 | FD | AA | | | | | | | |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 39 | 303292 | 11AUG95 | 96 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 39 | 363276 | 11AUG95 | 96 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 39 | 300552 | 11AUG95 | 96 | SS | + | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 40 | 027070 | 11AUG95 | 96 | SS | + | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 40 | 633311 | 25JUL95 | 93 | FD | AA | | | | 0 | | | |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 40 | 777281 | 9MAY95 | 82 | MS | + | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | NT | NT | |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 41 | 260820 | 14JUN95 | 87 | FD | AA | | | | | | | |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 41 | 773628 | 6FEB95 | 69 | FD | NTS | | | | | | | |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 41 | 633287 | 11AUG95 | 96 | SS | | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 0.41011.03 | 41 | 033207 | TIAUG95 | 90 | 33 | + | U | U | ı | į | U | U | U |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 42 | 631127 | 11AUG95 | 96 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 42 | 816315 | 7AUG95 | 95 | FD | + | | | | 1 | | | |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 42 | 305350 | 11AUG95 | 96 | SS | + | 0 | 1 | | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

| | | ANIMAL | DAY OF | WEEKS | CAUSE OF | Pathology | Kidney | Kidney | Kidney | Mesoth | Thyroid | Thyroid | Thyroid |
|--------------------------|----------|------------------|--------------------|----------|----------|-----------|---------|-----------|--------|--------|---------|-----------|------------------------|
| GROUP | CAGE | NO. | DEATH | | DEATH | +Yes/No | Adenoma | Carcinoma | HyP | | Adenoma | Carcinoma | HYP |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 43 | 030082 | 9MAR95 | 73 | FD | ED | | | | | | | |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 43 | 634571 | 2JUL95 | 90 | FD | + | | | | 0 | | | |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 43 | 779517 | 11AUG95 | 96 | SS | + | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 44 | 549333 | 8JUL95 | 91 | FD | AA? | | | | 0 | | | |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 44 | 033892 | 11AUG95 | 96 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 44 | 771532 | 11AUG95 | 96 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 45 | 341308 | 5AUG95 | 95 | FD | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | NT | NT | 1-29- 98ABD/ mhg |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 45 | 535883 | 21MAY95 | 84 | MS | + | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 45 | 806033 | 13MAR95 | 74 | FD | ED | | | | | | | |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 46 | 296092 | 11AUG95 | 96 | SS | + | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 46 | 637527 | 20DEC94 | 62 | FD | NTS | | | | | | | |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 46 | 361264 | 14JUN95 | 87 | MS | + | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 47 | 040877 | 29MAY95 | 85 | FD | + | | | | 1 | | | |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 47 | 634123 | 12APR95 | 78 | FD | AA | | | | | | | |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 47 | 024022 | 22JUN95 | 88 | FD | + | | | | 1 | | | |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 48 | 805113 | 11AUG95 | 96 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 48 | 304094 | 11AUG95 | 96 | SS | + | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 48 | 816099 | 9APR95 | 78 | FD | AA | · | · | | 0 | - | - | - |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 40 | 77709F | 11AUG95 | 06 | SS | | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 2 0.4KBRO3 | 49 40 | 777085 541077 | 11AUG95 11AUG95 | 96 06 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 2 0.4KBRO3 | 49 | | | 96 06 | SS | + | 0 0 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 2 U.4NDKU3 | 49 | 630819 | 11AUG95 | 96 | 33 | + | U | 0 | ı | I | 0 | U | 0 |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 50 | 569259 | 11AUG95 | 96 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 50 | 627627 | 4AUG95 | 95 | MS | + | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | ANIMAL | DAY OF | WEEKS | CAUSE OF | Pathology | Kidney | Kidney | Kidney | Mesoth | Thyroid | Thyroid | Thyroid |
|------------|------|--------|---------|-------|----------|-----------|---------|-----------|--------|--------|---------|-----------|---------|
| GROUP | CAGE | NO. | DEATH | | DEATH | +Yes/No | Adenoma | Carcinoma | НуР | | Adenoma | Carcinoma | HYP |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 128 | 039606 | MISS | ING | | MISSING | | | | | | | |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 128 | 363587 | 11AUG95 | 96 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 129 | 038346 | 23MAY95 | 84 | MS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 0.4KBRO3 | 129 | 632800 | 23MAY95 | 84 | FD | + | | | | 1 | | | |
| 3 0.2KBRO3 | 51 | 627547 | 6JAN94 | 12 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 3 0.2KBRO3 | 51 | 829546 | 6JAN94 | 12 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 3 O.2KBRO3 | 51 | 630863 | 6JAN94 | 12 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 3 0.2KBRO3 | 52 | 807025 | 6JAN94 | 12 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 3 0.2KBRO3 | 52 | 038356 | 6JAN94 | 12 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 3 O.2KBRO3 | 52 | 631091 | 6JAN94 | 12 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 3 0.2KBRO3 | 53 | 821771 | 8APR94 | 26 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 3 0.2KBRO3 | 53 | 806005 | 8APR94 | 26 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 3 O.2KBRO3 | 53 | 341036 | 8APR94 | 26 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 3 0.2KBRO3 | 54 | 357792 | 8APR94 | 26 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 1 | 0 | |
| 3 0.2KBRO3 | 54 | 801041 | 8APR94 | 26 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 3 O.2KBRO3 | 54 | 045073 | 8APR94 | 26 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 3 0.2KBRO3 | 55 | 122010 | 7OCT94 | 52 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 1 | 0 | 0 | |
| 3 0.2KBRO3 | 55 | 805281 | 7OCT94 | 52 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 3 O.2KBRO3 | 55 | 355344 | 7OCT94 | 52 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 3 0.2KBRO3 | 56 | 363124 | 7OCT94 | 52 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 3 0.2KBRO3 | 56 | 364312 | 7OCT94 | 52 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 3 O.2KBRO3 | 56 | 055322 | 7OCT94 | 52 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 3 0.2KBRO3 | 57 | 121084 | 5APR95 | 77 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

| | | ANIMAL | DAY OF | WEEKS | CAUSE OF | Pathology | Kidney | Kidney | Kidney | Mesoth | Thyroid | Thyroid | Thyroid |
|-------------|------|--------|---------|-----------|----------|-----------|---------|-----------|--------|--------|---------|-----------|---------|
| GROUP | CAGE | NO. | DEATH | | DEATH | +Yes/No | Adenoma | Carcinoma | HyP | | Adenoma | Carcinoma | HYP |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 0.2KBRO3 | 57 | 818827 | 5APR95 | 77 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 3 O.2KBRO3 | 57 | 805629 | 5APR95 | 77 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 3 0.2KBRO3 | 58 | 352036 | 5APR95 | 77 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 3 0.2KBRO3 | 58 | 082808 | 5APR95 | 77 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 3 O.2KBRO3 | 58 | 264846 | 23FEB95 | 71 | FD | ED | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 3 0.2KBRO3 | 59 | 301320 | 5APR95 | 77 | SS | + | | | | | | | |
| 3 0.2KBRO3 | 59 | 838555 | 18SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 3 O.2KBRO3 | 59 | 303604 | 10JUL95 | 91 | MS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 3 0.2KBRO3 | 60 | 771552 | 18SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 0.2KBRO3 | 60 | 026774 | 18SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 O.2KBRO3 | 60 | 363800 | 18SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 0.2KBRO3 | 61 | 548353 | 18SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 0.2KBRO3 | 61 | 605381 | 18SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 O.2KBRO3 | 61 | 783785 | 18SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 0.2KBRO3 | 62 | 359892 | 8SEP95 | 100 | FD | + | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 0.2KBRO3 | 62 | 082588 | 15MAR95 | 74 | FD | ED | | | | | | | |
| 3 O.2KBRO3 | 62 | 352624 | 18SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.0.01/DD00 | 00 | 00000 | 1005005 | 400 | 00 | | • | • | • | • | | 0 | |
| 3 0.2KBRO3 | 63 | 026090 | 18SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 3 0.2KBRO3 | 63 | 367860 | 27FEB95 | 72 100 | FD SS | ED | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 O.2KBRO3 | 63 | 358024 | 18SEP95 | 100 | 55 | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 0.2KBRO3 | 64 | 638375 | 18SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 0.2KBRO3 | 64 | 334598 | 21JUL95 | 93 | FD | + | | | | 0 | | | |
| 3 O.2KBRO3 | 64 | 307614 | 18SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 0.2KBRO3 | 65 | 302616 | 4AUG95 | 95 | FD | + | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | | | |

| GROUP | CAGE | ANIMAL NO. | DAY OF DEATH | WEEKS | CAUSE OF DEATH | Pathology +Yes/No | Kidney Adenoma | Kidney Carcinoma | Kidney HyP | Mesoth | Thyroid Adenoma | Thyroid Carcinoma | Thyroid HYP |
|------------|------|---------------|-----------------|-------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------|--------|--------------------|----------------------|----------------|
| | | | | | | | 71001101110 | | ,. | | 7.00 | - Caroniana | |
| 3 0.2KBRO3 | 65 | 777605 | 18SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 O.2KBRO3 | 65 | 782037 | 18SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 0.2KBRO3 | 66 | 297028 | 9MAR95 | 73 | MS | ED | | | | | | | |
| 3 0.2KBRO3 | 66 | 829322 | 18SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 O.2KBRO3 | 66 | 553083 | 18SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 0.2KBRO3 | 67 | 256356 | 18SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 0.2KBRO3 | 67 | 029094 | 18SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 O.2KBRO3 | 67 | 300560 | 18SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 0.2KBRO3 | 68 | 031802 | 18SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 0.2KBRO3 | 68 | 268014 | 18SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 O.2KBRO3 | 68 | 634891 | 18SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 0.2KBRO3 | 69 | 081576 | 8JUN95 | 86 | FD | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | | | |
| 3 0.2KBRO3 | 69 | 264562 | 3JUL95 | 90 | MS | + | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 O.2KBRO3 | 69 | 822011 | 13APR95 | 78 | MS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 0.2KBRO3 | 70 | 121552 | 18SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 0.2KBRO3 | 70 | 300584 | 1AUG95 | 94 | MS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 O.2KBRO3 | 70 | 367808 | 18SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 0.2KBRO3 | 71 | 030022 | 19MAR95 | 75 | FD | ED | | | | | | | |
| 3 0.2KBRO3 | 71 | 343292 | 4AUG95 | 95 | FD | + | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 3 O.2KBRO3 | 71 | 126788 | 1AUG95 | 94 | FD | + | | | | 0 | | | |
| 3 0.2KBRO3 | 72 | 362576 | 9MAY95 | 82 | MS | + | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | | | |
| 3 0.2KBRO3 | 72 | 296564 | 31AUG95 | 99 | MS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | | | |
| 3 O.2KBRO3 | 72 | 804333 | 2JUN95 | 86 | FD | + | | | | 0 | | | |
| 3 0.2KBRO3 | 73 | 630567 | 18SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

DRAFT FOR PUBLIC COMMENT AND SCIENTIFIC REVIEW

July 2008

| | | ANIMAL | DAY OF | WEEKS | CAUSE OF | Pathology | Kidney | Kidney | Kidney | Mesoth | Thyroid | Thyroid | Thyroid |
|--------------------------|----------|--------|------------------|----------|----------|-----------|---------|-----------|--------|--------|---------|-----------|---------|
| GROUP | CAGE | NO. | DEATH | | DEATH | +Yes/No | Adenoma | Carcinoma | НуР | | Adenoma | Carcinoma | HYP |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 0.2KBRO3 | 73 | 026594 | 18SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | |
| 3 O.2KBRO3 | 73 | 541017 | 23JUL95 | 93 | FD | + | | | | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 0.2KBRO3 | 74 | 539593 | 9SEP95 | 100 | FD | AA | | | | | | | |
| 3 0.2KBRO3 | 74 | 530047 | 26MAY95 | 85 | FD | AA? | | | | 0 | | | |
| 3 O.2KBRO3 | 74 | 801313 | 18SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 0.2KBRO3 | 75 | 029118 | 18SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 0.2KBRO3 | 75 | 546293 | 6JUN95 | 86 | FD | + | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 3 0.2KBRO3 | 130 | 035794 | 2JUN95 | 86 | FD | + | | | | 0 | | | |
| 3 0.2KBRO3 | 130 | 036338 | 2JUL95 | 90 | FD | + | | | | | | | |
| 3 0.2KBRO3 | 131 | 632316 | 4AUG95 | 95 | FD | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 3 0.2KBRO3 | 131 | 034622 | 19FEB95 | 71 | FD | ED | | - | - | | - | | - |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 76 | 537001 | 6JAN94 | 12 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 76 | 354856 | 6JAN94 | 12 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 76 | 332330 | 6JAN94 | 12 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 77 | 627023 | 6JAN94 | 12 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 77 | 543861 | 6JAN94 | 12 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 77 | 824838 | 6JAN94 | 12 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 78 | 055286 | 8APR94 | 26 | SS | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 4 0.1KBRO3 | | 125616 | | | SS | + | | | | 0 | | | |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 4 0.1KBRO3 | 78 79 | 257016 | 8APR94 8APR94 | 26 26 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 4 U. INDKU3 | 78 | 23/016 | OAPK94 | 26 | 33 | + | U | 0 | | U | 0 | 0 | |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 79 | 774856 | 8APR94 | 26 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 1 | 0 | |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 79 | 122016 | 8APR94 | 26 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 79 | 878315 | 8APR94 | 26 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

| | | ANIMAL | DAY OF | WEEKS | CAUSE OF | Pathology | Kidney | Kidney | Kidney | Mesoth | Thyroid | Thyroid | Thyroid |
|------------|------|--------|---------|-------|----------|-----------|---------|-----------|--------|--------|---------|-----------|---------|
| GROUP | CAGE | NO. | DEATH | | DEATH | +Yes/No | Adenoma | Carcinoma | HyP | | Adenoma | Carcinoma | HYP |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 80 | 039620 | 7OCT94 | 52 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 80 | 538557 | 7OCT94 | 52 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 80 | 353324 | 7OCT94 | 52 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 81 | 629335 | 7OCT94 | 52 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 81 | 631551 | 7OCT94 | 52 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 81 | 363004 | 7OCT94 | 52 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 82 | 120072 | 5APR95 | 77 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 82 | 265110 | 5APR95 | 77 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 82 | 039796 | 5APR95 | 77 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 83 | 635607 | 5APR95 | 77 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 83 | 625607 | 5APR95 | 77 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 83 | 872885 | 5APR95 | 77 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 84 | 334262 | 18SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 84 | 033008 | 18SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 84 | 032060 | 18SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 85 | 827570 | 18SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 85 | 546853 | 18SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 85 | 631815 | 8JUN95 | 86 | FD | AA | | | | | | | |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 86 | 626623 | 7AUG95 | 95 | MS | + | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 86 | 045361 | 18SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 86 | 257512 | 4AUG95 | 95 | MS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 87 | 806629 | 18SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 87 | 770844 | 18SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 87 | 634547 | 18SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| | | ANIMAL | DAY OF | WEEKS | CAUSE OF | Pathology | Kidney | Kidney | Kidney | Mesoth | Thyroid | Thyroid | Thyroid |
|--------------|-----------------|--------|---------|-------|----------|------------------|---------|-----------|--------|--------|---------|-----------|---------|
| GROUP | CAGE | NO. | DEATH | | DEATH | +Yes/No | Adenoma | Carcinoma | НуР | | Adenoma | Carcinoma | HYP |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 88 | 807121 | 9APR95 | 78 | MS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | _ | _ | _ |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 88 | 633003 | 18SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 88 | 297256 | 20AUG95 | 97 | FD | AA | | | | | | | |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 89 | 818543 | 18SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 89 | 637319 | 18SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 89 | 358884 | 18SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 90 | 805841 | 24AUG95 | 97 | FD | + | 0 | 0 | 1 | | | | |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 90 | 333266 | 19SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 90 | 258620 | 19SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 91 | 258572 | 24AUG95 | 97 | FD | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 91 | 357024 | 19SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 91 | 540837 | 01SEP95 | 99 | FD | AA | | | | | | | |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 92 | 367636 | 19SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 92 | 303352 | 19SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 92 | 636851 | 9MAY95 | 82 | MS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 93 | 626007 | 7APR94 | 25 | MS | ED | | | | | | | |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 93 | 044853 | 27OCT94 | 54 | MS | ED | | | | | | | |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 93 | 355884 | 19SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 94 | 334770 | 19SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 94 | 299080 | 19SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | U |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 94 | 352804 | 2JUN95 | 86 | FD | AA | O | U | U | 0 | U | U | |
| + 0. INDIXO3 | J -1 | 332004 | 2001130 | 00 | 10 | $\Lambda\Lambda$ | | | | U | | | |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 95 | 805077 | 19SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 95 | 780853 | 19SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 95 | 771104 | 19SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

47

| | | ANIMAL | DAY OF | WEEKS | CAUSE OF | Pathology | Kidney | Kidney | Kidney | Mesoth | Thyroid | Thyroid | Thyroid |
|-------------|------|--------|---------|-------|----------|-----------|---------|-----------|--------|--------|---------|-----------|---------|
| GROUP | CAGE | NO. | DEATH | | DEATH | +Yes/No | Adenoma | Carcinoma | НуР | | Adenoma | Carcinoma | HYP |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 96 | 570007 | 19SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 96 | 551533 | 19SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 96 | 120118 | 13SEP95 | 100 | FD | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 97 | 830310 | 04SEP95 | 99 | FD | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 97 | 624811 | 19SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 97 | 536085 | 19SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 98 | 635815 | 19SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 98 | 125884 | 30AUG95 | 98 | MS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 98 | 017083 | 19SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 99 | 606261 | 22JUN95 | 88 | MS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 99 | 055098 | 19SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 99 | 541797 | 19SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 100 | 579335 | 3JUL95 | 90 | MS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 100 | 361864 | 19SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 132 | 611892 | 14SEP95 | 100 | FD | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 132 | 369851 | 27APR95 | 80 | FD | + | | | | 0 | | | |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 133 | 375095 | 19SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 0.1KBRO3 | 133 | 636024 | 19SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 101 | 311338 | 6JAN94 | 12 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 101 | 570319 | 6JAN94 | 12 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 101 | 781069 | 6JAN94 | 12 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 102 | 366800 | 6JAN94 | 12 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 102 | 120876 | 6JAN94 | 12 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 102 | 304842 | 6JAN94 | 12 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

48

| | | ANIMAL | DAY OF | WEEKS | CAUSE OF | Pathology | Kidney | Kidney | Kidney | Mesoth | Thyroid | Thyroid | Thyroid |
|-------------|------|--------|---------|-------|----------|-----------|---------|-----------|--------|--------|---------|-----------|---------|
| GROUP | CAGE | NO. | DEATH | | DEATH | +Yes/No | Adenoma | Carcinoma | НуР | | Adenoma | Carcinoma | HYP |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 103 | 121350 | 8APR94 | 26 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 103 | 777537 | 8APR94 | 26 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 103 | 054774 | 8APR94 | 26 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 104 | 340632 | 8APR94 | 26 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 104 | 296624 | 8APR94 | 26 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 104 | 875043 | 8APR94 | 26 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 105 | 364544 | 7OCT94 | 52 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 105 | 036064 | 7OCT94 | 52 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 105 | 831034 | 7OCT94 | 52 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 106 | 264858 | 7OCT94 | 52 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 106 | 537829 | 7OCT94 | 52 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 106 | 540109 | 7OCT94 | 52 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 107 | 301288 | 5APR95 | 77 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 107 | 606517 | 5APR95 | 77 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 107 | 329278 | 5APR95 | 77 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 108 | 354772 | 5APR95 | 77 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 108 | 551017 | 5APR95 | 77 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 108 | 818895 | 5APR95 | 77 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 109 | 328002 | 11JUN95 | 87 | FD | AA | | | | 0 | | | |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 109 | 016583 | 1AUG95 | 94 | MS | + | | | | 0 | | | |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 109 | 034348 | 19SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 110 | 589331 | 19SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 110 | 034844 | 19SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 110 | 298352 | 19SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

49

| | | ANIMAL | DAY OF | WEEKS | CAUSE OF | Pathology | Kidney | Kidney | Kidney | Mesoth | Thyroid | Thyroid | Thyroid |
|-------------|------|--------|---------|-------|----------|-----------|---------|-----------|--------|--------|---------|-----------|---------|
| GROUP | CAGE | NO. | DEATH | | DEATH | +Yes/No | Adenoma | Carcinoma | HyP | | Adenoma | Carcinoma | HYP |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 111 | 824890 | 18SEP95 | 100 | FD | AA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 111 | 121536 | 19SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 111 | 530330 | 26MAY95 | 84 | FD | + | | | | 0 | | | |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 112 | 630887 | 19SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 112 | 081616 | 19SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 112 | 263832 | 6AUG95 | 95 | FD | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 113 | 360580 | 11JUL95 | 91 | FD | + | | | | 0 | | | |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 113 | 639627 | 19SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 113 | 043889 | 19SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 114 | 337032 | 25AUG95 | 97 | FD | AA | | | | | | | |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 114 | 549069 | 19SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 114 | 018315 | 3JAN95 | 64 | MS | ED | | | | | | | |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 115 | 307062 | 19SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 115 | 780773 | 19SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 115 | 636023 | 19SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 116 | 364616 | 19SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 116 | 777869 | 19SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 116 | 335521 | 19SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 117 | 310082 | 20SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 117 | 353336 | 20SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 117 | 366892 | 20SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 118 | 638035 | 20SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 118 | 550081 | 20SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 118 | 629615 | 29JUN95 | 89 | FD | + | | | | 0 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

50

| | | ANIMAL | DAY OF | WEEKS | CAUSE OF | Pathology | Kidney | Kidney | Kidney | Mesoth | Thyroid | Thyroid | Thyroid |
|--------------|--------|----------|---------|-------|----------|-----------|---------|-----------|--------|--------|---------|-----------|---------|
| GROUP | CAGE | NO. | DEATH | | DEATH | +Yes/No | Adenoma | Carcinoma | НуР | | Adenoma | Carcinoma | HYP |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 119 | 049334 | 11JUL95 | 91 | FD | + | | | | 1 | | | |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 119 | 803057 | 20SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 119 | 536777 | 20SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 120 | 539609 | 17AUG95 | 96 | MS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 120 | 028298 | 11JUN95 | 87 | FD | + | | | | 1 | | | |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 120 | 816519 | 20SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 121 | 632295 | 20SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 121 | 352568 | 20SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 121 | 001552 | 10APR95 | 78 | MS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| E 0 001/DD00 | 400 | 000004 | 0005005 | 400 | 00 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 122 | 300084 | 20SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 122 | 807789 | 20SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 122 | 540877 | 20SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 123 | 875027 | 20SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 123 | 625367 | 20SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 123 | 779117 | 20SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 124 | 538121 | 20SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 124 | 600109 | 20SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 124 | 333334 | 20SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 125 | 541593 | 20SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 125 | 545269 | 12AUG94 | 44 | MS | ED | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 134 | 826267 | 20SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 134 | 368555 | 28MAR95 | 76 | FD | ED | | | | | | | |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 135 | 033378 | 20SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| DDAETI | EVD DI | IRI IC C | OMMENT | г | | | | | | | | | |

DRAFT FOR PUBLIC COMMENT AND SCIENTIFIC REVIEW

51

| | | ANIMAL | DAY OF | WEEKS | CAUSE OF | Pathology | Kidney | Kidney | Kidney | Mesoth | Thyroid | Thyroid | Thyroid |
|-------------|------|--------|---------|-------|----------|-----------|---------|-----------|--------|--------|---------|-----------|---------|
| GROUP | CAGE | NO. | DEATH | | DEATH | +Yes/No | Adenoma | Carcinoma | HyP | | Adenoma | Carcinoma | HYP |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 0.02KBRO3 | 135 | 119841 | 20SEP95 | 100 | SS | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Acknowledgement

We thank Dr. Edmund Crouch for providing us with an Excel spreadsheet containing a Visual Basic macro and likelihood function to model the probability of tumor using the multistage-in-dose Weibull-in-time model.